arXiv:0906.0449v1 [math.SP] 2 Jun 2009

Invariants of isospectral deformations and spectral rigidity

G.Popov*, P.Topalov

Abstract

We introduce a notion of weak isospectrality for continuous deformations. Consider the Laplace-Beltrami operator on a compact Riemannian manifold with boundary with Robin boundary conditions. Given a Kronecker invariant torus Λ of the billiard ball map with a vector of rotation satisfying a Diophantine condition we prove that certain integrals on Λ involving the function in the Robin boundary conditions remain constant under weak isospectral deformations. To this end we construct continuous families of quasimodes associated with Λ. We obtain also isospectral invariants of the Laplacian with a real-valued potential on a compact manifold for continuous deformations of the potential. As an application we prove spectral rigidity in the case of Liouville billiard tables of dimension two.

1 Introduction

This is a part of a project (cf. [28, 29, 30]) concerned with the spectral rigidity and integral geometry of compact Liouville billiard tables of dimensions $n \geq 2$. The general strategy is first to find a list of spectral invariants and then to prove for certain manifolds that these invariants imply spectral rigidity.

Substantial progress in the inverse spectral problem has been done recently due to the wave-trace formula [10], [11], [17], [18], [20], [35], [36], [37], [38], and the semi-classical trace formulae [17], [18], [13], [14]. The wave-trace formula, known in physics as the Bilian-Bloch formula and treated rigorously by Y. Colin de Verdière [5] and J.Duistermaat and V. Guillemin [8] (see also [12], [20], [25]), as well its semi-classical analogue - the Gutzwiller trace formula relate the spectrum of the operator with different invariants of the corresponding closed geodesics such as their lengths and the spectrum of the linear Poincaré map. It has been proved in [10], [35], [36], [17], [18], that for certain non-degenerate closed geodesics one can extract the Birkhoff Normal Form (BNF) from the singularity expansions of the wave-trace and even to reconstruct the boundary of analytic planar domains [37], [38]. This approach works well if we suppose simplicity of the length spectrum (a non-coincidence condition) and non-degeneracy of the corresponding closed geodesic and its iterates.

We propose in this paper another approach which avoids the wave-trace formulae and works without assuming any non-coincidence or non-degeneracy conditions. Our aim here is to present a simple idea of how quasimodes can be used in inverse spectral problems. This idea works well for isospectral deformations whenever *continuous* with respect to the parameter of the

---

*Partially supported by Agence Nationale de la Recherche, Projet "RESONANCES": GIP ANR-06-BLAN-0063-03

deformation *quasimodes* can be constructed for the corresponding eigenvalue problem. Given a compact billiard table (X, g) with a smooth Riemannian metric g and the corresponding Laplace-Beltrami operator on it, we consider here continuous deformations either of the function K in the Robin boundary condition or of a real-valued potential V on X. To construct quasimodes we assume that there is an exponent B^m, $m \geq 1$, of the corresponding billiard ball map B which admits an invariant Kronecker torus Λ with a Diophantine vector of rotation ω. By a Kronecker torus we mean an embedded Lagrangian submanifold Λ of the coball bundle of the boundary, diffeomorphic to the torus $\mathbb{T}^{n-1} := \mathbb{R}^{n-1}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$ and invariant with respect to B^m, and such that the restriction of B^m to Λ is smoothly conjugated to the rotation with a constant vector ω on $\mathbb{T}^{n-1}$. Any regular invariant torus of a completely integrable system, is a Kronecker torus. Moreover, the Kolmogorov-Arnold-Moser (KAM) theorem provides families of Kronecker tori for close to completely integrable systems. These tori have Diophantine vectors of rotation and their union is of a positive measure in the phase space. If the deformation is isospectral we prove that certain integrals on Λ of the function K or of the potential V remain constant under the deformation.

Typical examples of completely integrable billiard tables are the Liouville billiard tables (L.B.T.). In the case of L.B.T. we treat these integral invariants as values of a suitable Radon transform. Then the spectral rigidity follows from the injectivity of the Radon transform. Liouville billiard tables of dimension two have been studied in [28]. Liouville billiard tables of dimension $n \geq 2$ are introduced in [30], where the integrability of the corresponding billiard ball map is obtained using a simple variational principal. A typical example of a L.B.T. is the interior of the n-axial ellipsoid in $\mathbb{R}^n$ [30]. The injectivity of the Radon transform in higher dimensions is investigated in [29].

A billiard table (X, g) is a smooth compact Riemannian manifold of dimension $\dim X = n \geq 2$ equipped with a smooth Riemannian metric g and with a C^∞ boundary $\Gamma := \partial X \neq \emptyset$. Let Δ be the "positive" Laplace-Beltrami operator on (X, g). Given a real-valued function $K \in C^\ell(\Gamma, \mathbb{R})$, $\ell \geq 0$, we consider the operator Δ with domain

$$D := \left\{ u \in H^2(X) : \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}|_\Gamma = Ku|_\Gamma \right\} ,$$

where $\nu(x)$, $x \in \Gamma$, is the inward unit normal to Γ with respect to the metric g. We denote this operator by $\Delta_{g,K}$. It is a selfadjoint operator in $L^2(X)$ with discrete spectrum

$$\operatorname{Spec} \Delta_{g,K} := \{\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \cdots\} ,$$

where each eigenvalue $\lambda = \lambda_j$ is repeated according to its multiplicity, and it solves the spectral problem

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{rcl} \Delta u & = & \lambda u \quad \text{in X} , \\ \dfrac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}|_\Gamma & = & K u|_\Gamma . \end{array} \right. \tag{1.1}$$

1.1 *Invariants of isospectral families*

The method we use requires only finite regularity of K. Fix $\ell \geq 0$, and consider a continuous family of real-valued functions K_t in the Hölder space $C^\ell(\Gamma, \mathbb{R})$, $t \in [0,1]$, which means that the map $[0,1] \ni t \mapsto K_t$ is continuous in $C^\ell(\Gamma, \mathbb{R})$. To simplify the notations we denote by Δ_t the corresponding operator Δ_{g,K_t}. The family Δ_t is said to be isospectral if

$$\forall\, t \in [0,1]\,,\ \mathrm{Spec}\,(\Delta_t) \;=\; \mathrm{Spec}\,(\Delta_0)\;. \tag{1.2}$$

We are going to introduce a weaker notion of isospectrality. Consider the union $\mathcal{I}$ of infinitely many disjoint intervals going to infinity, with lengths tending to zero, and which are polynomially separated. More precisely, fix two positive constants c and $d > 1/2$, and suppose that

(H$_1$) $\quad \mathcal{I} \;:=\; \bigcup_{k\in\mathbb{N}} [a_k, b_k], \quad$ where

- $0 < a_k < b_k < a_{k+1}$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$,
- $\lim a_k \;=\; \lim b_k \;=\; +\infty$,
- $\lim(b_k - a_k) \;=\; 0$, and
- $a_{k+1} - b_k \;\geq\; c b_k^{-d}$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

We impose the following *"weak isospectral assumption"*:

(H$_2$) *There is* $a \geq 1$ *such that* $\forall\, t \in [0,1]\,,\ \mathrm{Spec}\,(\Delta_t) \cap [a, +\infty) \;\subset\; \mathcal{I}\,.$

Using the asymptotic of the eigenvalues λ_j as $j \to \infty$ we shall see in Sect. 2 that the condition (H$_1$)-(H$_2$) is "natural" for any $d > n/2$. In other words, if the isospectral condition (1.2) is satisfied then for any $d > n/2$ and $c > 0$ there is $a \gg 1$ such that (H$_1$)-(H$_2$) holds.

The elastic reflection of geodesics at Γ determines continuous curves on X called *billiard trajectories* as well as a discontinuous dynamical system on T^*X – the *billiard flow.* The latter induces a discrete dynamical system B on an open subset of the coball bundle of Γ called billiard ball map (see Sect. 2.1). We suppose also that there is an integer $m \geq 1$ such that the map $P = B^m$ admits an invariant Kronecker torus of a vector of rotation ω satisfying the following Diophantine condition:

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text{There is } \kappa > 0 \text{ and } \tau > n-1 \text{ such that } \forall\,(k, k_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n,\ k = (k_1, \ldots, k_{n-1}) \neq 0: \\[1ex] \qquad\qquad |\langle \omega, k\rangle + k_n| \;\geq\; \kappa\, \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} |k_j|\right)^{-\tau}\;. \end{array}\right. \tag{1.3}$$

For example, if $\dim X = 2$, and Γ is strictly geodesically convex (with respect to the outward normal $-\nu(x)$), then by a result of Lazutkin the union of the invariant circles of $P = B$ with Diophantine numbers of rotation is of a positive Lebesgue measure in $T^*\Gamma$. If γ is a suitable elliptic broken geodesic with m vertices in X, $\dim X \geq 2$, applying the KAM theorem, we get a family of invariant tory of $P = B^m$ with Diophantine vectors of rotation the union of which has a positive measure in $T^*\Gamma$.

More precisely, we impose the following dynamical condition

(H$_3$) *There exists an embedded submanifold* Λ *of* $B^*\Gamma$ *diffeomorphic to* $\mathbb{T}^{n-1}$ *and invariant with respect to* $P = B^m$ *such that the restriction of* P *to* Λ *is* C^∞ *conjugated to the rotation* $R_{2\pi\omega}(\varphi) = \varphi - 2\pi\omega \pmod{2\pi}$ *in* $\mathbb{T}^{n-1}$, *where* ω *satisfies (1.3).*

Then $\Lambda \subset B^*\Gamma$ is Lagrangian (see [15], Sect. I.3.2). Moreover, it follows from (1.3) that P is uniquely ergodic on Λ, i.e. there is an unique probability measure $d\mu$ on Λ which is invariant under P. Obviously, $d\mu$ is the pull-back of the Lebegues measure $(2\pi)^{1-n}d\varphi$ on $\mathbb{T}^{n-1}$ via the diffomorphism in (H_3). Set $\Lambda_j = B^j(\Lambda)$, $j = 0, 1, \ldots, m-1$, and $d\mu_j = (B^{-j})^*(d\mu)$. Then Λ_j is a Kronicker invariant torus of P with a vector of rotation $2\pi\omega$ and $d\mu_j$ is the unique probability measure on it which is invariant with respect to P. Denote by $\pi_\Gamma : T^*\Gamma \to \Gamma$ the canonical projection. Given $(x, \xi) \in B^*\Gamma$, we denote by $\xi^+ \in T^*_x X$ the corresponding outgoing unit co-vector which means that the restriction of ξ^+ to $T_x\Gamma$ equals ξ and $\langle \xi^+, \nu(x)\rangle \geq 0$, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ stands for the paring between vectors and co-vectors, and we define $\theta = \theta(x, \xi) \in [0, \pi/2]$ by $\sin\theta = \langle \xi^+, \nu(x)\rangle$.

We require only finite C^ℓ-Holder regularity on K. Fix $d > 1/2$ and $\tau > n-1$ and let

$$\ell > ([2d] + 1)(\tau + 2) + 2n + (n-1)/2\,, \tag{1.4}$$

where $[p]$ stands for the entire part of the real number p. In what follows d will be the exponent in (H_1), and τ the exponent in the small denominator condition (1.3). Our main result is:

Theorem 1.1 *Let Λ be an invariant Kronecker torus of $P = B^m$ with a vector of rotation $2\pi\omega$ satisfying the small denominator condition (1.3) with exponent $\tau > n-1$. Fix ℓ and $d > 1/2$ such that (1.4) holds. Let*

$$[0, 1] \ni t \mapsto K_t \in C^\ell(\Gamma, \mathbb{R})\ ,$$

be a continuous family of real-valued functions on Γ such that Δ_t satisfy the isospectral condition $(H_1) - (H_2)$ with exponent d. Then

$$\forall\, t \in [0, 1], \quad \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \int_{\Lambda_j} \frac{K_t \circ \pi_\Gamma}{\sin\theta}\, d\mu_j \;=\; \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \int_{\Lambda_j} \frac{K_0 \circ \pi_\Gamma}{\sin\theta}\, d\mu_j\,. \tag{1.5}$$

This theorem is inspired by a result of Guillemin and Melrose [11, 12]. They consider a connected clean submanifold Λ of fixed points of $P = B^m$, $m \geq 2$, satisfying the so called "non-coincidence" condition[1]. Let $T_{\Lambda,m}$ be the common length of the family of closed broken geodesics issuing from Λ and having m reflexions at Γ. The "non-coincidence" condition means that the broken geodesics of that family are the only closed generalized geodesics in X of length $T_{\Lambda,m}$. Under this condition, Guillemin and Melrose prove that if K_j, $j = 1, 2$, are two real-valued C^∞ functions on Γ such that $\mathrm{Spec}\,(\Delta_{g,K_1}) = \mathrm{Spec}\,(\Delta_{g,K_2})$, then certain integrals of $K_j/\sin\theta$, $j = 1, 2$, on Λ are equal. In the case when $X \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is the interior of an ellipse Γ they obtain an infinite sequence of confocal ellipses $\Gamma_j \subset X$ tending to Γ such that the corresponding invariant circles Λ_j of B satisfy the non-coincidence condition. As a consequence they obtain in [11] spectral rigidity of (1.1) in the case of the ellipse for C^∞ functions K which are invariant with respect to the symmetries of the ellipse. The main tool in the proof is the trace formula for the wave equation with Robin boundary conditions in X (see [12]). This result was generalized in [28] for two-dimensional Liouville billiard tables of classical type.

There is little hope to apply the wave-trace formula in our situation. An invariant Kronecker torus Λ of the billiard ball map B could be approximated with periodic points of $P = B^m$ using a variant of the Birkhoff-Lewis theorem and a "Birkhoff normal form" of P near Λ. Unfortunately, we do not know if the corresponding closed broken geodesics are non-degenerate. Moreover, it will be too hard to verify if the non-coincidence condition holds even for the ellipsoid.

---

[1] The integer m is not necesserily the minimal exponent so that $P(\varrho) = B^m(\varrho)$ for $\varrho \in \Lambda$

We propose a simple idea which relies on a quasimode construction. It is natural to use quasimodes for this kind of problems since quasi-eigenvalues are close to eigenvalues and they contain a lot of geometric information. In order to prove (1.5), we construct *continuous* with respect to $t \in [0,1]$ quasimodes for Δ_t (see (2.1) for a definition) of order $M = [2d]+1$, where $[2d]$ is the entire part of $2d$ and d is the exponent in (H_1). The quasi-eigenvalues (see Theorem 2.2) are of the form $\mu_q(t)^2$, where q belongs to an unbounded index set $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$, and

$$\mu_q(t) = \mu_q^0 + c_{q,0} + c_{q,1}(t)(\mu_q^0)^{-1} + \cdots + c_{q,M}(t)(\mu_q^0)^{-M} ,$$

μ_q^0 and $c_{q,0}$ are independent of t, $\lim_{|q|\to\infty} \mu_q^0 = +\infty$, and $c_{q,j}$, $q \in \mathcal{M}$, is an uniformly bounded sequence of continuous functions in $t \in [0,1]$, and the constant $C_M(t)$ in (2.1) is uniformly bounded. The function $c_{q,1}$ has the form

$$c_{q,1}(t) = c'_{q,1} + c''_1 \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \int_{\Lambda_j} \frac{K_t \circ \pi_\Gamma}{\sin\theta}\, d\mu_j ,$$

where $c'_{q,1}$ and $c''_1 \neq 0$ are independent of t and c''_1 does not depend on q either. Moreover, there is $C > 0$ such that for any $q \in \mathcal{M} \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $t \in [0,1]$,

$$\mathrm{dist}\left(\mathrm{Spec}\,(\Delta_t)\,, \mu_q(t)^2\right) \leq C(\mu_q^0)^{-M} .$$

Now (H_2) implies that the quasi-eigenvalues $\mu_q(t)^2$, $|q| \geq q_0 \gg 1$, belong to the union of intervals $[a_k - ca_k^{-d}/4, b_k + cb_k^{-d}/4]$ which do not intersect in view of (H_1). Since $\mu_q(t)^2$ is continuous in $[0,1]$, it can not jump from one interval to another. Hence, for each $q \in \mathcal{M}$, $|q| \gg 1$, there is $k = k(q) \gg 1$ such that

$$|c_{q,1}(t) - c_{q,1}(0)| \leq \mu_q^0 |\mu_q(t) - \mu_q(0)| + C'(\mu_q^0)^{-1} \leq C'(|\mu_q(t)^2 - \mu_q(0)^2| + (\mu_q^0)^{-1})$$

$$\leq C'(b_k - a_k + ca_k^{-d} + (\mu_q^0)^{-1}) := \varepsilon_q ,$$

for any $t \in [0,1]$, where C' stands for different positive constants, and $\lim \varepsilon_q = 0$ as $|q| \to \infty$ in view of (H_1), which proves (1.5).

In Theorem 2.2 we give a construction of quasimodes, such that corresponding quasi-eigenvalues depend continuously on $K \in C^l(\Gamma, \mathbb{R})$, where $l > M(\tau+2) + 2n + (n-1)/2$, and τ is the exponent in the Diophantine condition (1.3). Choosing $M = [2d]+1$ we obtain that the index of regularity ℓ of K_t in Theorem 1.1 should satisfy (1.4).

Here are the main steps in the proof of Theorem 2.2 (see Sect. 3). In Sect. 3.1 we reduce the spectral problem (1.1) microlocally near the union of Λ to an equation with respect to $(\lambda, v) \in \mathbb{C} \times C^\infty(\Gamma)$ of the form

$$(W(\lambda) - \mathrm{Id})v \;=\; O_M(\lambda^{-M-1})v \,.$$

Here $W(\lambda) = [Q^0(\lambda) + \lambda^{-1}Q^1(\lambda)S(\lambda)]$ is the "quantum monodromy" operator, where $S(\lambda)$ is a "classical" Fourier Integral Operator with a large parameter λ (λ-FIO) whose canonical relation is the graph of P, and with a C^∞-smooth compactly supported amplitude in any local chart, $Q^0(\lambda)$ is a "classical" pseudodifferential operator with a large parameter λ (λ-PDO) with a

C^∞-smooth compactly supported amplitude in any local chart, and $Q^1(\lambda)$ is a λ-PDO with a compactly supported amplitude of finite regularity. Moreover, $S(\lambda)$ and $Q^0(\lambda)$ do not depend on K, while $Q^1(\lambda)$ depends continuously on K. The symbol of $Q^1(\lambda)$ in any local chart has the form $\sum_{j=0}^{M-1} q_j^0(x,\xi)\lambda^{-j} + O_M(\lambda^{-M})$, where q_j^0 are compactly supported functions. Moreover, q_j^0 are polynomials of K and of its derivatives of order $\leq 2j$ with smooth coefficients, while the reminder term $O_M(\lambda^{-M})$ depends continuously on K and on its derivatives of order $\leq 2M$. The symbols of finite regularity that we need are introduced in the Appendix. The reduction here is close in a sense to the construction of a parametrix for the mixed problem for the wave equation in the C^∞ case which has been done by V. Guillemin and R. Melrose in [12]. Using a result of V. Lazutkin we find a Birkhoff Normal Form of P in a neighborhood of Λ. Next solving the corresponding homological equation we find a Quantum Birkhoff Normal Form of the monodromy operator $W(\lambda)$ in Sect. 3.4. In particular, we obtain a spectral decomposition of $W(\lambda)$ modulo $O_M(\lambda^{-M})$, which allows us to construct the qusimodes. Similar idea has been used in [24]. Recently, the "quantum monodromy" has been used also in the wave-trace and the semi-classical trace formulae [25], [31]. To get a normal form we make use of Wiener spaces with weights $\mathcal{A}^s(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})$. A function in $\mathbb{T}^{n-1}$ belongs to such a space if the series of its Fourier coefficients multiplied by a suitable polynomial weight is absolutely convergent. These spaces turn out to be perfectly adapted for solving the homological equation. At any iteration we loose exactly τ derivatives, which makes $M\tau$ in total. On the other hand, $C^q(\mathbb{T}^{n-1}) \subset \mathcal{A}^s(\mathbb{T}^{n-1}) \subset C^s(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})$ for any $s \geq 0$ and $q > s + (n-1)/2$, and the inclusion maps are continuous by a theorem of Bernstein. We need also $2n$ derivatives on the amplitude of the corresponding λ-FIO acting on $\mathbb{T}^{n-1}$ to proof L^2-continuity. Finally, to construct continuous with respect to $K \in C^l(\Gamma, \mathbb{R})$ quasimodes we have to assume that $l > 2M + \tau M + (n-1)/2 + 2n$.

1.2 *Applications and spectral rigidity*

Kronecker invariant tori usually appear in Cantor families (with respect to the Diophantine vector of rotation ω satisfying (1.3) with $\tau > n-1$), the union of which has positive Lebesgue measure in $T^*\Gamma$, and Theorem 1.1 applies to any single torus Λ of that family. Consider for example a strictly convex bounded domain $X \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ with C^∞ boundary Γ, and fix $\tau > 1$. It is known from Lazutkin (cf. [19], Theorem 14.21) that for any $0 < \kappa \leq \kappa_0 \ll 1$ there is a Cantor set $\Xi_\kappa \subset (0, \varepsilon_0]$, $\varepsilon_0 \sim \sqrt{\kappa_0} \ll 1$, of Diophantine numbers ω satisfying (1.3) such that for each $\omega \in \Xi_\kappa$ there is a KAM (Kolmogorov-Arnold-Moser) invariant circle $\Lambda_\omega \subset B^*\Gamma$ of B satisfying (H$_3$) with $m = 1$ and with rotation number $2\pi\omega$. Moreover, Ξ_κ is of a positive Lebesgue measure in $(0, \varepsilon_0]$. In fact, the Lebesgue measure of $(0, \varepsilon] \setminus \Xi$, $\Xi = \cup \Xi_\kappa$, is $o(\varepsilon)$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$, and so is the Lebesgue measure of the complement to the union of the invariant circles in an ε-neighborhood of $S^*\Gamma$ in $B^*\Gamma$. More generally, the result of Lazutkin holds for any compact billiard table (X, g), $\dim X = 2$, with connected boundary Γ which is locally strictly geodesically convex. The corresponding completely integrable map is obtained by means of the "approximate interpolating Hamiltonian" [20].

Corollary 1.2 *Let (X, g), $\dim X = 2$, be a compact billiard table with a smooth connected and locally strictly geodesically convex boundary Γ. Let $[0,1] \ni t \mapsto K_t \in C^\ell(\Gamma, \mathbb{R})$ be a continuous family of functions such that Δ_t satisfy $(H_1) - (H_2)$. Let $\ell > ([2d]+1)(\tau+2) + 9/2$, where $d > 1/2$ is the exponent in (H_1). Then*

$$\forall \omega \in \Xi\,,\ \forall t \in [0,1], \quad \int_{\Lambda_\omega} \frac{K_t \circ \pi_\Gamma}{\sin\theta}\, d\mu \;=\; \int_{\Lambda_\omega} \frac{K_0 \circ \pi_\Gamma}{\sin\theta}\, d\mu\,. \tag{1.6}$$

Another example can be obtained applying the KAM theorem to the Poincaré map $P = B^m$ of a periodic broken geodesic γ with m vertexes (in any dimension $n \geq 2$). To this end we suppose that γ is *elliptic*, that *there are no resonances of order* ≤ 4 and that the corresponding Birkhoff normal form of P is *non-degenerate* (see Sect. 4.1 for definitions). If the dimension of X is two these conditions mean that P is a twist map. Consider in more details the case of a bouncing ball trajectory γ ($m = 2$) with vertices x_1 and x_2 in a two-dimensional billiard table. Assume that there is a neighborhood U of γ in X and two involutions $J_k : U \to U$, $k = 1, 2$, acting as isometries on U, such that $J_1(x_j) = x_j$ and $J_2(x_1) = x_2$ and suppose that J_1 and J_2 commute. Denote by $I(\Gamma \cap U) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$ the group of local isometries generated by the restrictions of J_1 and J_2 to $\Gamma \cap U$. For any $f \in C(\Gamma \cap U)$ we consider the average

$$f^{\#}(x) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{g \in I(\Gamma \cap U)} f(g.x)\,, \ x \in \Gamma \cap U.$$

Corollary 1.3 *Let (X, g) be a compact billiard table of dimension $\dim X = 2$ with a smooth boundary Γ. Let γ be an elliptic bouncing ball trajectory with end points x_1 and x_2 satisfying the hypothesis above. Let $[0,1] \ni t \mapsto K_t \in C^{\ell}(\Gamma, \mathbb{R})$ be a continuous family of real-valued functions on Γ such that Δ_t satisfy $(H_1) - (H_2)$ and let $\ell > 3[2d] + 15/2$, where d is the exponent in (H_1). Then for any $t \in (0,1]$ there is an infinite sequence $\{y_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $\Gamma \setminus \{x_1\}$ such that $\lim y_k = x_1$ and $K_t^{\#}(y_k) = K_0^{\#}(y_k)$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular, the Taylor polynomials of $K_t^{\#}$ at x_j, $j = 1, 2$, of degree less than $[\ell] + 1$ do not depend on $t \in [0,1]$.*

Corollary 1.3 will be proved in Sect. 4.1.

Theorem 1.1 can be applied also in the completely integrable case, for example for the ellipse or the ellipsoid, or more generally for Liouville billiard tables of classical type [28, 29, 30] in any dimension $n \geq 2$. We are going to prove spectral rigidity of the Robin boundary problem for two dimensional Liouville billiard tables of classical type (see Sect. 4.2 for definitions). Such billiard tables have a group of isometries $I(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$ which induces a group of isometries $I(\Gamma) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$ on the boundary. Given $f \in C(\Gamma)$ we denote by $f^{\#}$ its average with respect to $I(\Gamma)$. We denote by $\mathrm{Symm}^{\ell}(\Gamma)$ the space of all C^{ℓ} real-valued functions which are invariant with respect to $I(\Gamma)$, i.e. a smooth function f belongs to $\mathrm{Symm}^{\ell}(\Gamma)$ if $f = f^{\#}$. Applying Theorem 1.1 for Diophantine numbers of rotation ω , we show that *any continuous weakly isospectral deformation* of K in $\mathrm{Symm}^{\ell}(\Gamma)$ is *trivial*. More precisely, we have

Corollary 1.4 *Let (X, g), $\dim X = 2$, be a Liouville billiard table of classical type. Let K_t, $t \in [0,1]$, be a continuous family of real-valued functions in $C^{\ell}(\Gamma, \mathbb{R})$ such that Δ_t satisfy $(H_1) - (H_2)$. Suppose that $\ell > 3[2d] + 15/2$, where d is the exponent in (H_1). Then $K_t^{\#} \equiv K_0^{\#}$ for any $t \in [0,1]$.*

It seams that even for the ellipse this result has not been known. Using Lemma 2.1 and Corollary 1.4 we obtain that *any continuous isospectral deformation* of K in the sense of (1.2) in $\mathrm{Symm}(\Gamma)$ is *trivial*. We point out that the Liouville billiard tables that we consider are not analytic in general and the methods used in [11] and [28] can not be applied. Corollary 1.4 will be proved in Sect. 4.2.

In the same way we treat the operator $\Delta_t = \Delta + V_t$ in X with fixed Dirichlet or Robin (Neumann) boundary conditions on Γ, where $V_t \in C^{\ell}(X)$, $t \in [0,1]$, is a continuous family of real-valued potentials in X. The corresponding results are proved in Sect. 5.1. Injectivity of the Radon transform and spectral rigidity of Liouville billiard tables in higher dimensions is investigated in [29].

2 Quasimodes and spectral invariants

First we shall show that the isospectral condition (H$_1$)-(H$_2$) is natural for any $d > n/2$. Given $c > 0$ and $a \gg 1$ we consider

$$\mathcal{I}_0 \ := \ \left\{ \lambda \geq a : \, |\, \mathrm{Spec}\,(\Delta_{g,K}) \ - \ \lambda| \ \leq \ 2c\lambda^{-d} \right\} .$$

Let us write $\mathcal{I}_0$ as a disjoint union of connected intervals $[\overline{a}_k, \overline{b}_k]$, and then set $a_k = \overline{a}_k + c\overline{a}_k^{-d}$ and $b_k = \overline{b}_k - c\overline{b}_k^{-d}$. We have $\overline{b}_k - \overline{a}_k \geq 2c(\overline{a}_k^{-d} + \overline{b}_k^{-d})$, hence, $b_k - a_k \geq c(\overline{a}_k^{-d} + \overline{b}_k^{-d}) > 0$. Denote by $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}\,(\Delta_{g,K})$ the union of the disjoint intervals $[a_k, b_k]$, $k \geq 1$. By construction $a_{k+1} - b_k > ca_{k+1}^{-d}$ since the intervals $[\overline{a}_k, \overline{b}_k]$ are disjoint.

Lemma 2.1 *The set $\mathcal{I}\,(\Delta_{g,K})$ satisfies (H$_1$) for any $d > n/2$. In particular, the usual isospectral condition (1.2) implies* (H$_2$) - (H$_2$) *for $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}\,(\Delta_0)$ and any $d > n/2$.*

Proof of Lemma 2.1. It remains to estimate the length of the interval $[a_k, b_k]$. Let $\lambda_p \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_r$ be the eigenvalues of $\Delta_{g,K}$ in $[\overline{a}_k, \overline{b}_k]$. Then

$$|\,\lambda_j \ - \ \lambda_{j+1}| \ \leq \ 4c\lambda_j^{-d}$$

for $p \leq j \leq r$. On the other hand, by Weyl's formula, $\lambda_j = 2vj^{2/n}(1 + o(1))$ as $j \to +\infty$, where $v > 0$ is a constant. Then choosing $k \gg 1$, we get $\lambda_j \geq vj^{2/n}$ for $\lambda_j \in [\overline{a}_k, \overline{b}_k]$, and

$$\overline{b}_k - \overline{a}_k \ \leq \ C \sum_{j=p}^{r} j^{-\frac{2d}{n}} \leq C \int_p^r s^{-\frac{2d}{n}} ds \ \leq C\lambda_p^{1-\frac{2d}{n}} \leq C\overline{a}_k^{1-\frac{2d}{n}} ,$$

where C stands for different positive constants. Hence, $b_k - a_k < \overline{b}_k - \overline{a}_k = o(1)$ for $d > n/2$, which proves the Lemma. □

2.1 *Billiard ball map*

We recall from Birkhoff [2] the definition of the billiard ball map B associated to the billiard table (X, g) with boundary Γ. Denote by h the Hamiltonian corresponding to the Riemannian metric g on X via the Legendre transformation. The billiard ball map B lives in an open subset of the coball bundle

$$B^*\Gamma = \{(x, \xi) \in T^*\Gamma : \, h_0(x, \xi) \leq 1\} ,$$

where h_0 is the Hamiltonian corresponding to the induced Riemannian metric on Γ via the Legendre transformation. The map B is defined as follows. Denote by $\overset{\circ}{B}{}^*\Gamma$ the interior of $B^*\Gamma$ and set

$$S^*X := \{(x, \xi) \in T^*X : \, h(x, \xi) = 1\} , \quad \Sigma = S^*X|_\Gamma := \{(x, \xi) \in S^*X : \, x \in \Gamma\} ,$$

$$\Sigma^\pm := \{(x, \xi) \in \Sigma : \, \pm\langle \xi, \nu(x)\rangle > 0\} .$$

The natural projection $\pi_\Sigma : \Sigma \to B^*\Gamma$ assigning to each $(x, \eta) \in \Sigma$ the covector $(x, \eta|_{T_x\Gamma})$ admits two smooth inverses

$$\pi_\Sigma^\pm : \overset{\circ}{B}{}^*\Gamma \to \Sigma^\pm , \ \pi_\Sigma^\pm(x, \xi) = (x, \xi^\pm) .$$

Take $(x,\xi) \in \overset{\circ}{B}{}^*\Gamma$ and consider the integral curve $\exp(tX_h)(x,\xi^+)$, of the Hamiltonian vector field X_h starting at $(x,\xi^+) \in \Sigma^+$. If it intersects transversally Σ at a time $t_1 > 0$ and lies entirely in the interior $S^* \overset{\circ}{X}$ of S^*X for $t \in (0,t_1)$, we set

$$(y,\eta^-) = J(x,\xi^+) = \exp(t_1 X_h)(x,\xi_+) \in \Sigma^- ,$$

and define $B(x,\xi) := (y,\eta)$, where $\eta := \eta_-|_{T_y\Gamma}$. We denote by $\widetilde{B}^*\Gamma$ the set of all such points (x,ξ). In this way we obtain a smooth symplectic map $B : \widetilde{B}^*\Gamma \to B^*\Gamma$, $B = \pi_\Sigma \circ J \circ \pi_\Sigma^+$. As in [20] we can write π_Σ in an symplectic invariant form as follows. Consider the pull-back ω_0 in $T^*X|_\Gamma$ of the symplectic form ω in T^*X via the inclusion map. Then the projection along the characteristics of ω_0 induces the map $\pi_\Sigma : \Sigma \to B^*\Gamma$.

Denote by $\pi_\Gamma : T^*\Gamma \to \Gamma$ the inclusion map. Given $(x,\xi) \in B^*\Gamma$, we denote by $\theta = \theta(x,\xi) \in (0,\pi/2]$ the angle determined by $\sin\theta = \langle \xi^+, \nu(x)\rangle$, where $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ stands for the pairing between vectors and covectors.

2.2 *Quasimodes*

Fix a positive integer M. By a quasimode $\mathcal{Q}$ of $\Delta_{g,K}$ of order M we mean an infinite sequence $(\mu_q,u_q)_{q\in\mathcal{M}}$, $\mathcal{M}$ being an infinite index set, such that μ_q are positive, $\lim \mu_q = +\infty$, $u_q \in C^\infty(\overline{X})$, $\|u_q\|_{L^2(X)} = 1$, and there is a constant $C_M > 0$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \|\Delta\, u_q \;-\; \mu_q^2\, u_q\| \;\le\; C_M\, \mu_q^{-M} \quad \text{in } L^2(X)\,, \\ \\ \partial u_q/\partial\nu|_\Gamma \;-\; K\, u_q|_\Gamma = \;0\,. \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

Denote by $A(\varrho)$ the action along the broken bicharacteristic starting at $\varrho \in \Lambda$ and with endpoint $P(\varrho) \in \Lambda$. Note that $2A(\varrho) > 0$ is just the length of the corresponding geodesic arc.

Theorem 2.2 *Let Λ be a Kronecker torus satisfying (H_3) with frequency given by (1.3) and exponent $\tau > n-1$. Fix a positive integer $M \ge 2$ and $l > M(\tau+2) + 2n + (n-1)/2$. Then for any $K \in C^l(\Gamma,\mathbb{R})$ there is a quasimode $(\mu_q(K), u_q(K))_{q\in\mathcal{M}}$ of $\Delta_{g,K}$ of order M satisfying (2.1) and with an index set $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ independent of K, such that*

$$\mu_q = \mu_q^0 + c_{q,0} + c_{q,1}(\mu_q^0)^{-1} + \cdots + c_{q,M}(\mu_q^0)^{-M}$$

where

- *(i) μ_q^0 is independent of K and there is $C^0 > 0$ such that $\mu_q^0 \ge C^0|q|$ for any $q \in \mathcal{M}$,*
- *(ii) the function $c_{q,j} : C^l(\Gamma,\mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{R}$ assigning to each $K \in C^l(\Gamma,\mathbb{R})$ the corresponding coefficient $c_{q,j}(K)$ of $\mu_q(K)$ is continuous.*
- *(iii) the coefficients $c_{q,j}(K)$ and the constant $C_M(K)$ in (2.1) are uniformly bounded on any bounded subset $\mathcal{B}$ of $C^l(\Gamma,\mathbb{R})$, which means that there is $C = C(\mathcal{B}) > 0$ such that $|C_M(K)| \le C$ and $|c_{q,j}(K)| \le C$ for any $q \in \mathcal{M}$, $0 \le j \le M$, and any $K \in \mathcal{B}$,*
- *(iv) $c_{q,0}$ is independent of K and*

$$c_{q,1}(K) = c'_{q,1} + c''_1 \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \int_{\Lambda_j} \frac{K \circ \pi_\Gamma}{\sin\theta}\, d\mu_j\,,$$

where $c'_{q,1}$ is independent of K, and $c''_1 = -\frac{2}{\int_{\Lambda_1} A(\varrho)\, d\mu_1}$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Denote by $\mathcal{B}$ the set of K_t, $t \in [0,1]$. Take $M = [2d]+1 \geq 2$, the smallest positive integer bigger than $2d$, and consider the quasi-eigenvalues $\mu_q(t)^2$, $t \in [0,1]$, given by Theorem 2.2. It is easy to see that for any $q \in \mathcal{M} \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $t \in [0,1]$,

$$d_{t,q} := \left| \operatorname{Spec}(\Delta_t) \, - \, \mu_q(t)^2 \right| \, \leq \, C_M \, \mu_q(t)^{-M} \, . \tag{2.2}$$

Indeed, if $d_{t,q} \neq 0$ by (2.1) and the spectral theorem we get

$$\frac{1}{d_{t,q}} \geq \|(\Delta_t - \mu_q(t)^2)^{-1}\| \geq \|(\Delta_t - \mu_q(t)^2)u_q(t)\|^{-1} \geq \frac{\mu_q(t)^M}{C_M}.$$

Now, for any $q \in \mathcal{M}$, $|q| \geq q_0 \gg 1$, and $t \in [0,1]$ there is $\lambda_{t,q} \in \operatorname{Spec}(\Delta_t)$ such that $\lambda_{t,q} \geq (C')^{-1}|q|$ and

$$\left| \, \lambda_{t,q} \, - \, \mu_q(t)^2 \right| \, \leq \, C' \lambda_{t,q}^{-([2d]+1)/2}$$

where $C' > 0$ depends only on C^0 and C_N.

Since $([2d]+1)/2 > d$, we obtain by (H$_2$) that the quasi-eigenvalue $\mu_q(t)^2$ belongs to the union of the intervals $[a_k - ca_k^{-d}/4, b_k + cb_k^{-d}/4]$ for any $q \in \mathcal{M}$ with $|q| \geq q_0 \gg 1$ and any $t \in [0,1]$. These intervals do not intersect each other in view of (H$_1$) and since $\mu_q(t)^2$ is continuous in $[0,1]$ it can not jump from one interval to another. Hence, for each $q \in \mathcal{M}$ with $|q| \geq q_0$ there is $k = k(q)$ such that $\mu_q(t)^2 \in [a_k - ca_k^{-d}/4, b_k + cb_k^{-d}/4]$ for any $t \in [0,1]$, and we obtain

$$\left| \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \int_{\Lambda_j} \frac{(K_t - K_0)\circ \pi_\Gamma}{\sin\theta} \, d\mu_j \right| \; = \; |c''_1|^{-1} |c_{q,1}(t) - c_{q,1}(0)|$$

$$\leq |c''_1|^{-1}\mu_q^0 |\mu_q(t) - \mu_q(0)| + C'(\mu_q^0)^{-1} \leq C' \left(\frac{\mu_q^0}{\mu_q(t)+\mu_q(0)} |\mu_q(t)^2 - \mu_q(0)^2| + (\mu_q^0)^{-1} \right)$$

$$\leq C' \left(b_k - a_k + ca_k^{-d} + (\mu_q^0)^{-1} \right) := \varepsilon_q \, ,$$

where C' stands for different positive constants depending only on the constants C^0 and C in Theorem 2.2. Hence C' depends neither on t nor on q. Moreover, $k(q) \to +\infty$ as $q \to \infty$ and in view of (H$_1$) we obtain $\lim_{q\to\infty} \varepsilon_q = 0$ which proves (1.5). $\square$

3 Construction of continuous quasimodes

3.1 *Reduction to the boundary.*

We are going to use an outgoing parametrix for the Helmholtz equation with initial conditions on Γ. In the time dependent case such a parametrix has been constructed by Guillemin and Melrose [12]. Without loss of generality we can suppose that $B^j(\Lambda) \neq \Lambda$ for $1 \leq j < m$.

Set $\Lambda_j = B^j(\Lambda)$, $j = 0, 1, \ldots, m$, where $\Lambda_m = P(\Lambda) = \Lambda$. Since ω is Diophantine, P acts transitively on each Λ_j, hence, $\Lambda_i \cap \Lambda_j = \emptyset$ if $0 < |i-j| < m$ and $m \geq 2$. Choose neighborhoods

$U_j \subset \widetilde{B^*}\Gamma$ of Λ_j, $0 \le j \le m$, such that U_{j+1} is a neighborhood of the closure of $B(U_j)$ for $j = 0, \ldots, m-1$, $m \ge 1$, and $U_0 \subset U_m$, and such that $U_i \cap U_j = \emptyset$ if $0 < |i-j| < m$ and $m \ge 2$. We denote by $(\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{g})$ a C^∞ extension of (X, g) across Γ such that any integral curve γ of the Hamiltonian vector field $X_{\widetilde{h}}$, $\widetilde{h}$ being the corresponding Hamiltonian, starting at $\pi_\Sigma^+(U_j)$, $j = 0, \ldots, m-1$, satisfies

$$\gamma \cap T^*\widetilde{X}|_\Gamma \subset \pi_\Sigma^+(U_j) \cup \pi_\Sigma^-(U_{j+1}). \tag{3.1}$$

Then γ intersects transversally $T^*X|_\Gamma$ and for each $\varrho \in U_j$ there is an unique $T_j(\varrho) > 0$ such that

$$\exp(T_j(\varrho)X_{\widetilde{h}})(\pi_\Sigma^+(\varrho)) \in \pi_\Sigma^-(B(U_j))\,.$$

Let $\psi_j(\lambda)$, $j = 0, 1, \ldots, m$, be classical λ-pseudodifferential operators (λ-PDOs) of order 0 on Γ with a large parameter λ and compactly supported amplitudes in U_j [21] such that

$$\mathrm{WF}'(\mathrm{Id} - \psi_j) \cap \Lambda_j = \emptyset\,,$$

and

$$\mathrm{WF}'(\psi_{j+1}) \subset B(U_j)\,,\ \mathrm{WF}'(\mathrm{Id} - \psi_{j+1}) \cap B(\mathrm{WF}'(\psi_j)) = \emptyset \text{ for } j = 0, \ldots m-1\,. \tag{3.2}$$

Hereafter $\mathrm{WF}'(\psi_j)$ stands for the frequency set of ψ_j [21], and by a "classical" λ-PDO we mean that in any local coordinates the corresponding distribution kernel is of the form (A.4) where the amplitude has an asymptotic expansion $q(x, \xi, \lambda) \sim \sum_{k=0}^\infty q_k(x, \xi)\lambda^{-k}$ and q_k are C^∞ smooth and uniformly compactly supported. In particular the distribution kernel $\mathrm{OP}_\lambda(q)(\cdot, \cdot)$ is smooth for each λ fixed. We take λ in a complex strip

$$\mathcal{D} := \{z \in \mathbf{C} : \, |\mathrm{Im}\, z| \le D_0, \, \mathrm{Re}\, z \ge 1\}\,,$$

$D_0 > 0$ being fixed.

We are looking for a microlocal outgoing parametrix $H_j : L^2(\Gamma) \to C^\infty(\widetilde{X})$, of the Dirichlet problem for the Helmholtz equation with "initial data" concentrated in U_j such that

$$\forall N \in \mathbb{N}\,, \quad (\Delta - \lambda^2)H_j(\lambda) = O_N(|\lambda|^{-N}) \tag{3.3}$$

in a neighborhood of X in $\widetilde{X}$. Hereafter,

$$O_N(|\lambda|^{-N}) \ : \ L^2(\Gamma) \longrightarrow L^2(\widetilde{X})$$

stands for any family of continuous operators depending on λ with norms $\le C_N(1 + |\lambda|)^{-N}$, $C_N > 0$. We shall denote also by

$$O_N(|\lambda|^{-N}) \ : \ L^2(\Gamma) \longrightarrow L^2(\Gamma)\ ,$$

any family of continuous operators depending on λ with norms uniformly bounded by $C_N(1 + |\lambda|)^{-N}$, $C_N > 0$. We take $N = M$ to be the order of the quasimode we are going to construct.

The operator H_j is a Fourier integral operator of order 1/4 with a large parameter $\lambda \in \mathcal{D}$ (λ-FIO) the distribution kernel of which is an oscillatory integral in the sense of Duistermaat [7] (see also [21]). In any local coordinates its amplitude is C^∞ smooth, it is uniformly compactly supported for $\lambda \in \mathcal{D}$ and it has an asymptotic expansion in powers of λ up to any negative order. In particular, $H_j(\lambda)u$ is a C^∞ smooth function for any fixed λ and $u \in L^2(\Gamma)$. The corresponding canonical relation lies in $T^*\Gamma \times T^*\widetilde{X}$ and it is given by

$$\mathcal{C}_j \ := \ \left\{ \left(\varrho\,;\, \exp(sX_{\widetilde{h}})(\pi_\Sigma^+(\varrho))\right) \ : \ \varrho \in U_j\,,\ -\varepsilon < s < T_j + \varepsilon \right\}\ ,\ \varepsilon > 0\,.$$

We parameterize it by (ϱ, s). Consider the operator of restriction $\imath_\Gamma^* : C^\infty(\widetilde{X}) \to C^\infty(\Gamma)$, $\imath_\Gamma^*(u) = u_{|\Gamma}$, as a λ-FIO of order 0, the canonical relation $\mathcal{R}$ of which is just the inverse of the canonical relation given by the conormal bundle of the graph of the inclusion map $\imath : \Gamma \to \widetilde{X}$. Notice that the composition $\mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{C}_j$ is transversal for any j and it is a disjoint union of the diagonal in $U_j \times U_j$ (for $s = 0$) and of the graph of the billiard ball map $B : U_j \to U_{j+1}$ (for $s = T_j$). Let $\Psi_j(\lambda)$ be a λ-PDO of order 0 such that $\mathrm{WF}'(\Psi_j - \mathrm{Id}) \cap \mathrm{WF}'(\psi_j) = \emptyset$. Taking $\Psi_j(\lambda)$ as initial data at Γ for $s = 0$ and solving the corresponding transport equations, we obtain an operator $H_j(\lambda)$ satisfying (3.3) and such that

$$\imath_\Gamma^* H_j(\lambda) = \Psi_j(\lambda) + G_j(\lambda) + O_M(|\lambda|^{-M})\,, \tag{3.4}$$

where $G_j(\lambda)$ is a λ-FIO of order 0, the canonical relation of which is the graph of the billiard ball map $B : U_j \to U_{j+1}$. Moreover, its principal symbol is equal to 1 in a neighborhood of $\mathrm{WF}'(\psi_j)$ modulo Maslov's factor times the Liouville factor $\exp(i\lambda A_j(\varrho))$, where $A_j(\varrho) = \int_{\gamma_j(\varrho)} \xi dx$ is the action along the integral curve $\gamma_j(\varrho)$ of the Hamiltonian vector field $X_{\widetilde{h}}$ starting at $\varrho \in U_j$ and with endpoint $B(\varrho) \in U_{j+1}$. In particular, the frequency set WF' of $G_j(\lambda)$ is contained in $U_j \times U_{j+1}$ for any $j = 0, \dots, m-1$. Note that $2A_j(\varrho)$ is just the length $T_j(\varrho)$ of the corresponding geodesic $\widetilde{\gamma}_j(\varrho)$ in X and we have

$$\pi_\Sigma \left(\exp(2A_j(\varrho)X_{\widetilde{h}})(\pi_\Sigma^+(\varrho))\right) = B(\varrho)\,,\ \varrho \in U_j\,.$$

Fix a bounded set $\mathcal{B}$ in $C^l(\Gamma, \mathbb{R})$ and take $K \in \mathcal{B}$. Consider the operator $\mathcal{N} = \partial/\partial\widetilde{\nu} - \widetilde{K}$ in a neighborhood of Γ in $\widetilde{X}$, where $\widetilde{\nu}$ is a normal vector field to Γ and $\widetilde{K}$ is a C^l-smooth extension of K with compact support contained in a small neighborhood of Γ. To construct $\widetilde{K}$ we extend K as a constant on the integral curves of $\widetilde{\nu}$ and then multiply it with a suitable cut-off function. In this way we obtain a continuous map $K \to \widetilde{K}$ from $C^l(\Gamma, \mathbb{R})$ to $C_0^l(\widetilde{X}, \mathbb{R})$.

Suppose first that $m = 1$. Then $\Lambda = B(\Lambda)$ and $U_0 \cup B(U_0) \subset U_1$. Set $G(\lambda) = H_0(\lambda)\psi_0(\lambda)$. We have $(\Delta - \lambda^2)G(\lambda) = O_M(|\lambda|^{-M})$ in a neighborhood of X in $\widetilde{X}$, in view of (3.3). To satisfy the boundary conditions we should have $\imath_\Gamma^*\, \mathcal{N}\, G(\lambda) = O_M(|\lambda|^{-M})$. Using the symbolic calculus and (3.2) we obtain

$$\imath_\Gamma^*\, \mathcal{N}\, G(\lambda) = \psi_1(\lambda)(\lambda R_0^+ + K)\,\psi_0(\lambda) + \psi_1(\lambda)(\lambda R_1^- + K)\,G_0(\lambda)\psi_0(\lambda) + O_M(|\lambda|^{-M})\,.$$

Here, $R_0^+(\lambda)$ is a classical λ-PDO of order 0 on Γ independent of K, with a C_0^∞-symbol in any local coordinates, and with principal symbol

$$\sigma(R_0^+)(\varrho) \ = \ i\sqrt{1 - h_0(\varrho)}\,,\ \varrho \in U_0\,,$$

and R_1^- is a classical λ-PDO of order 0 on Γ independent of K with principal symbol

$$\sigma(R_1^-)(\varrho) \ = \ -i\sqrt{1 - h_0(\varrho)}\,,\ \varrho \in U_1\,.$$

We consider the following equation with respect to Q_1

$$\psi_1(\lambda)\left[\lambda R_1^-(\lambda) + K + (\lambda R_0^+(\lambda) + K)Q_1(\lambda)\right] = O_{\mathcal{B}}(|\lambda|^{-M})\ , \tag{3.5}$$

which we solve using the classes $\mathrm{PDO}_{l,2,M}(\Gamma;\mathcal{B};\lambda)$ defined in the Appendix. Hereafter,

$$O_{\mathcal{B}}(|\lambda|^{-M})\ :\ L^2(\Gamma)\to L^2(\Gamma)$$

denotes any family of continuous operators depending on $K\in\mathcal{B}$ and on $\lambda\in\mathcal{D}$ with norms uniformly bounded by $C_{\mathcal{B}}(1+|\lambda|)^{-M}$, where $C_{\mathcal{B}}>0$ is a constant independent of $K\in\mathcal{B}$ and λ.

Let $S(\lambda)$ be a classical λ-PDO such that $\mathrm{WF}'(SR_0^+-\mathrm{Id})\cap\mathrm{WF}'(\psi_1)=\emptyset$. The solution of (3.5) is given by

$$Q_1=Q_1^0+\lambda^{-1}Q_1^1$$

where

$$Q_1^0=-SR_1^-\quad\text{and}\quad Q_1^1=\sum_{j=0}^{M-2}\lambda^{-j}(-SK)^{j+1}(\mathrm{Id}-SR_1^-)\,. \tag{3.6}$$

The operator $Q_1^1(\lambda)$ belongs to $\mathrm{PDO}_{l,2,M}(\Gamma;\mathcal{B};\lambda)$ in view of Remark A. 1, and it is well defined modulo $O_{\mathcal{B}}(|\lambda|^{-M})$. The corresponding principal symbols are

$$\sigma_0(Q_1^0)(x,\xi)=1\ ,\quad \sigma_0(Q_1^1)(x,\xi)=\frac{2iK(x)}{\sqrt{1-h_0(x,\xi)}}=\frac{2iK(x)}{\sin\theta(x,\xi)}$$

in a neighborhood of $\mathrm{WF}'(\psi_1)$ in U_1. In this way the equation

$$\imath_\Gamma^*\,\mathcal{N}\,G(\lambda)v=O_M(|\lambda|^{-M})v$$

reduces to $(W(\lambda)-\mathrm{Id}\,)\psi_0(\lambda)v\ =\ O_{\mathcal{B}}(|\lambda|^{-M-1})v$, where $W(\lambda):=Q_1(\lambda)G_0(\lambda)$.

Suppose now that $m\geq 2$. In order to satisfy the boundary conditions at U_{j+1}, $0\leq j\leq m-2$, we are looking for a λ-PDO $Q_{j+1}(\lambda)$ such that

$$\psi_{j+1}(\lambda)\imath_\Gamma^*\mathcal{N}\,H_{j+1}(\lambda)Q_{j+1}(\lambda)G_j(\lambda)\ +\ \psi_{j+1}(\lambda)\imath_\Gamma^*\mathcal{N}\,H_j(\lambda)=O_{\mathcal{B}}(|\lambda|^{-M})\,. \tag{3.7}$$

Using the symbolic calculus we write

$$\psi_{j+1}(\lambda)\imath_\Gamma^*\,\mathcal{N}\,H_{j+1}(\lambda)Q_{j+1}(\lambda)G_j(\lambda)=\psi_{j+1}(\lambda)(\lambda R_{j+1}^+(\lambda)+K)Q_{j+1}(\lambda)G_j(\lambda)+O_M(|\lambda|^{-M})$$

where $R_{j+1}^+(\lambda)$ is a classical λ-PDO of order 0 on Γ independent of K, with a C_0^∞-symbol in any local coordinates, and with principal symbol

$$\sigma(R_{j+1}^+)(\varrho)\ =\ i\sqrt{1-h_0(\varrho)}\,,\ \varrho\in U_{j+1}\,.$$

In the same way we obtain

$$\psi_{j+1}(\lambda)\imath_\Gamma^*\mathcal{N}\,H_j(\lambda)=\psi_{j+1}(\lambda)(\lambda R_{j+1}^-+K)\,G_j(\lambda)+O_M(|\lambda|^{-M}),$$

where R_{j+1}^- is a classical λ-PDO of order 0 on Γ independent of K with principal symbol

$$\sigma(R_{j+1}^-)(\varrho)\ =\ -i\sqrt{1-h_0(\varrho)}\,,\ \varrho\in U_{j+1}\,.$$

Then (3.7) reduces into the equation

$$\psi_{j+1}(\lambda)\left[(\lambda R_{j+1}^++K)Q_{j+1}+\lambda R_{j+1}^-+K\right]=O_{\mathcal{B}}(|\lambda|^{-M}) \tag{3.8}$$

on U_{j+1}, which we solve as above in the classes $\mathrm{PDO}_{l,2,M}(\Gamma;\mathcal{B};\lambda)$. More precisely, we obtain an operator

$$Q_{j+1} = Q^0_{j+1} + \lambda^{-1} Q^1_{j+1}$$

which is well defined modulo $O_{\mathcal{B}}(|\lambda|^{-M-1})$, where Q^0_{j+1} is a classical λ-PDOs of order 0 independent of K and with a C^∞ symbol, and $Q^1_{j+1} \in \mathrm{PDO}_{l,2,M}(\Gamma;\mathcal{B};\lambda)$. The corresponding principal symbols are

$$\sigma_0(Q^0_{j+1})(x,\xi) = 1\ , \quad \sigma_0(Q^1_{j+1})(x,\xi) = \frac{2iK(x)}{\sqrt{1-h_0(x,\xi)}} = \frac{2iK(x)}{\sin\theta(x,\xi)}$$

in a neighborhood of $\mathrm{WF}'(\psi_{j+1})$ in U_{j+1}.

Consider the operator $G(\lambda) : C^\infty(\Gamma) \to C^\infty(\widetilde{X})$ defined by

$$G(\lambda) = H_0(\lambda)\psi_0(\lambda) + \sum_{k=2}^{m} H_{k-1}(\lambda)\left(\Pi_{j=0}^{k-2} Q_{j+1}(\lambda) G_j(\lambda)\right)\psi_0(\lambda)\,.$$

Using (3.2) - (3.4) and (3.7) we obtain

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rcl} (\Delta-\lambda^2)G(\lambda) & = & O_{\mathcal{B}}(|\lambda|^{-M})\,, \\ \imath^*_\Gamma \mathcal{N}\, G(\lambda) & = & \psi_m(\lambda)(\lambda R_0^+ + K)\,\psi_0(\lambda) + \psi_m(\lambda)(\lambda R_m^- + K)\,\widetilde{W}(\lambda)\psi_0(\lambda) + O_{\mathcal{B}}(|\lambda|^{-M})\,, \end{array}\right.$$

where

$$\widetilde{W}(\lambda) = \imath^*_\Gamma H_{m-1}(\lambda)\Pi_{j=0}^{m-2}\left(\psi_{j+1}(\lambda)Q_{j+1}(\lambda)G_j(\lambda)\right)\,,$$

and R_0^+ and R_m^- are defined as above. As in (3.5) we find $Q_m = Q_m^0 + \lambda^{-1}Q_m^1$ such that

$$\psi_m(\lambda)\left[\lambda R_m^- + K + (\lambda R_0^+ + K)Q_m(\lambda)\right] = O_{\mathcal{B}}(|\lambda|^{-M})\,,$$

where Q_m^k, $k=0,1$, are as above. Denote

$$W(\lambda) := Q_m(\lambda)\widetilde{W}(\lambda) = \Pi_{j=0}^{m-1}\left(\psi_{j+1}(\lambda)Q_{j+1}(\lambda)G_j(\lambda)\right)\,.$$

Then the boundary problem above becomes

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rcl} (\Delta-\lambda^2)G(\lambda) & = & O_{\mathcal{B}}(|\lambda|^{-M})\,, \\ \imath^*_\Gamma \mathcal{N}\, G(\lambda) & = & \psi_m(\lambda)(\lambda R_0^+ + K)(\mathrm{Id}\,-\,W(\lambda))\,\psi_0(\lambda) + O_{\mathcal{B}}(|\lambda|^{-M})\,. \end{array}\right.$$

In this way we reduce the equation $\imath^*_\Gamma \mathcal{N}\, G(\lambda)v = O_{\mathcal{B}}(|\lambda|^{-M})v$ to the following one

$$(W(\lambda) - \mathrm{Id}\,)\psi_0(\lambda)v \;=\; O_{\mathcal{B}}(|\lambda|^{-M-1})v\,, \tag{3.9}$$

Set $S(\lambda) := \Pi_{j=0}^{m-1} G_j(\lambda)$. By construction $G_j(\lambda)$ is elliptic on $\mathrm{WF}'(\psi_j Q_j)$, and using Lemma A.2 we commute $G_j(\lambda)$ with $\psi_j Q_j$. Since $\mathrm{PDO}_{l,2,M}(\Gamma;\mathcal{B};\lambda)$ is closed under multiplication (see Remark A.1), we obtain another λ-PDO of the same class which we commute with $G_{j+1}(\lambda)$ and so on. Finally, we obtain

$$W(\lambda) = \psi_m(\lambda)\left(Q^0(\lambda) + \lambda^{-1}Q^1(\lambda)\right)S(\lambda)\psi_0(\lambda) + O_{\mathcal{B}}(\lambda^{-M-1})\,.$$

Here, $Q^0(\lambda)$ is a classical λ-PDOs on Γ with a C^∞ symbol independent of K and with principal symbol 1 in a neighborhood of Λ, and $Q^1 \in \mathrm{PDO}_{l,2,M}(\Gamma;\mathcal{B};\lambda)$. By Egorov's theorem (see Lemma A.2) the principal symbol of $Q^1(\lambda)$ is

$$\sigma_0(Q^1)(x,\xi) = 2i \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{K(\pi_\Gamma(x^j,\xi^j))}{\sin\theta(x^j,\xi^j)}\,, \quad (x^j,\xi^j) = B^{-j}(x,\xi)\,,$$

in $P(U_0)$. The operator $S(\lambda)$ does not depend on K, and it is a classical λ-FIO of order 0 with a large parameter $\lambda \in \mathcal{D}$. The canonical relation of $S(\lambda)$ is given by the graph of the map $P = B^m : U_0 \to U_m$, and the principal symbol of $S(\lambda)$ equals one modulo a Maslov's factor times the Liouville factor $\exp(i\lambda A(x,\xi))$, $(x,\xi) \in P(U_0)$, where $A(x,\xi) = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} A_j(x^j,\xi^j)$.

3.2 *Birkhoff normal form of P.*

We are going to use a symplectic Birkhoff normal form of P in a neighborhood Λ (cf. [19], Proposition 9.13). Choose a basis of cycles γ_j, $j = 1,\dots,n-1$, of the first homology group $H_1(\Lambda,\mathbb{Z})$, and set $I^0 = (I^0_1,\dots,I^0_{n-1})$, where $I^0_j = (2\pi)^{-1}\int_{\gamma_j}\xi dx$. Then Proposition 9.13, [19], provides an exact symplectic transformation χ mapping a neighborhood of $\mathbb{T}^{n-1}\times\{I^0\}$ in $T^*\mathbb{T}^{n-1}$ to a neighborhood of Λ in $\overset{\circ}{B^*}\,\Gamma$ such that

(i) $\chi(\mathbb{T}^{n-1}\times\{I^0\}) = \Lambda$,

(ii) the symplectic map $P^0 := \chi^{-1}\circ P\circ\chi$ has a generating function of the form

$$\Phi(x,I) = \langle x, I\rangle + L(I) + R(x,I)\,,\ x\in\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\,,\ |I - I_0| \ll 1\,,$$

i.e. $P^0(\nabla_I\Phi, I) = (x, \nabla_x\Phi)$, where R is 2π-periodic in x,

(iii) $\nabla L(I^0) = 2\pi\omega$ and $\partial_I^\alpha R(x,I^0) = 0$, for any $x\in\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, and $\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^{n-1}$.

In particular, we obtain

$$\forall N\in\mathbb{N}\,,\quad P^0(\varphi,I) = (\varphi - \nabla L(I), I) + O_N(|I - I_0|^N)\,. \tag{3.10}$$

Consider the "flow-out" $\mathcal{T}\cong\mathbb{T}^n$ of Λ by the broken bicharacteristic flow of h in T^*X. The broken bicharacteristic flow of h can be extended continuously in the compressed cotangent bundle. Moreover, one can use the method of the symplectic gluing ([19], Chapter 1.4, see also [29], Appendix) to extend it smoothly across the boundary near Λ_j, $j = 1,\dots,m-1$. More precisely, there exists an unique smooth symplectic gluing of the symplectic manifold T^*X across a neighborhood $U\subset T^*X|_\Gamma$ of $\cup_{j=1}^{m-1}\Lambda_j$ into a symplectic manifold $\widetilde{T^*X}$ and an unique extension of h to a smooth hamiltonian $\tilde h$ on $\widetilde{T^*X}$ such that the canonical projection $p : T^*\overset{\circ}{X}\cup U \to \widetilde{T^*X}$ is a smooth symplectic map on its interior $T^*\overset{\circ}{X}$, and $\tilde h\circ p = h$. In this way, the broken bicharacteristic flow is represented locally by the smooth Hamiltonian flow $(t,\varrho)\to\exp(tX_{\tilde h})(\varrho)$, $\varrho\in\widetilde{T^*X}$, and the flow-out of Λ is a smooth lagrangian torus $\mathcal{T}$ in $\widetilde{T^*X}$, invariant with respect to the flow of $\tilde h$. Now Λ can be considered as a smooth section of $\mathcal{T}$, P can be identified with the corresponding Poincaré map and $2A(\varrho)$, $\varrho\in\Lambda$, is the first return time. In particular, there is a diffeomorphism $\phi : \mathcal{T}\to\mathbb{T}^n$ conjugating the restriction of the Hamiltonian flow of $\tilde h$ on $\mathcal{T}$ to the linear flow $(\varphi,\varphi_n)\to(\varphi,\varphi_n) - t(\omega,1)$, $(\varphi,\varphi_n)\in\mathbb{T}^n$. Recall that ω satisfies

(1.3). Hence, the restriction of the flow of $\tilde{h}$ to $\mathcal{T}$ is uniquely ergodic, i.e. there exists an unique probability measure $d\tilde{\mu}$ on $\mathcal{T}$ which is invariant with respect to the flow oh $\tilde{h}$. It is given by $(2\pi)^{-n}\phi^*(d\varphi d\varphi_n)$. Now, we choose the constant $L(I^0)$ as follows. Let $\rho^0 = \chi(\varphi^0, I^0) \in \Lambda$. We denote by $\gamma_{n1}(\rho^0)$ the broken bicharacteristic arc in $\mathcal{T}$ issuing from ρ^0 and having endpoint at $P(\rho^0)$, and by $\gamma_{n2}(\rho^0) := \chi(\varphi^0 + (s-1)2\pi\omega, I^0)$, $s \in [0,1]$, the arc connecting $P(\rho^0)$ and ρ^0 in Λ. Let γ_n be the union of the two arcs. We denote by $L(I^0)$ the action along γ_n, i.e.

$$L(I^0) \;=\; \int_{\gamma_n} \xi dx\,. \tag{3.11}$$

Note that the integral above depends only on the homology class of the loop γ_n in the Lagrangian torus $\mathcal{T}$. We can give now a geometric interpretation of L which will be needed later. The Poincaré identity gives

$$P^*(\xi dx) = \xi dx + dA,$$

where ξdx is the fundamental one form on $T^*\Gamma$ and $A(\rho)$, $\rho = \chi(\varphi, I)$, $|I - I^0| \ll 1$, stands for the action along the broken bicharacteristic $\gamma_{n1}(\rho)$. Since χ is exact symplectic we have $\chi^*(\xi dx) = Id\varphi + d\Psi$ with a suitable smooth function $\Psi \in C^\infty(T^*\mathbb{T}^{n-1})$. Combining the two equalities we obtain

$$(P^0)^*(Id\varphi) - Id\varphi = d((A\circ\chi) + \Psi - \Psi\circ P^0).$$

In view of (3.10) this implies

$$L(I) - \langle I, \nabla L(I)\rangle = A(\chi(\varphi, I)) + \Psi(\varphi, I) - \Psi(P^0(\varphi, I)) + O_p(|I - I^0|^p) \tag{3.12}$$

for any $p \in \mathbb{N}$ modulo a constant $C \in \mathbb{R}$. Notice that C should be zero since for $I = I^0$ and $\omega = \nabla L(I^0)/2\pi$ we obtain using (3.11)

$$\begin{aligned} L(I^0) - \langle I^0, \nabla L(I^0)\rangle &= L(I^0) - 2\pi\langle I^0, \omega\rangle = \int_{\gamma^0_{n1}} I^0 d\varphi \\ &= \int_{\gamma_{n1}(\rho^0)} \xi dx + \Psi(\varphi^0, I^0) - \Psi(\varphi^0 - 2\pi\omega, I^0) = A(\chi(\varphi^0, I^0)) + \Psi(\varphi^0, I^0) - \Psi(P^0(\varphi^0, I^0))\,, \end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma^0_{n1} := \chi^{-1}(\gamma_{n1}(\rho^0))$.

Set $\varrho^j = P^j(\varrho^0) = \chi(\varphi^0 - 2\pi j\omega, I^0)$. The measure $d\mu = (2\pi)^{-n+1}\chi_*(d\varphi)$ on Λ is invariant with respect to the map $P : \Lambda \to \Lambda$ which is ergodic since $2\pi\omega$ satisfies (1.3), and we get

$$L(I^0) - 2\pi\langle I^0, \omega\rangle = \lim_{j\to\infty} \frac{1}{j}\sum_{k=0}^{j-1} A(\varrho^k) = \int_\Lambda A(\varrho)\, d\mu \;>\; 0 \tag{3.13}$$

for any $0 \le j \le m-1$.

3.3 *Quantization of* χ.

Using the restriction of χ to $\mathbb{T}^{n-1} \times \{I^0\}$, we identify the first cohomology groups $H^1(\Lambda, \mathbb{Z}) = H^1(\mathbb{T}^{n-1}, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$, and we denote by $\vartheta_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$ the Maslov class of the invariant torus Λ. As in [4] we consider the flat Hermitian line bundle $\mathbb{L}$ over $\mathbb{T}^{n-1}$ which is associated to the class ϑ_0. The sections f in $\mathbb{L}$ can be identified canonically with functions $\widetilde{f} : \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \to \mathbb{C}$ so that

$$\widetilde{f}(x + 2\pi p) \;=\; e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}\langle\vartheta_0, p\rangle}\widetilde{f}(x) \tag{3.14}$$

for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ and $p \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$. An orthonormal basis of $L^2(\mathbb{T}^{n-1}, \mathbb{L})$ is given by $e_k,\ k \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$, where

$$\widetilde{e}_k(x) \ = \ \exp\left(i\langle k + \vartheta_0/4, x\rangle\right).$$

We quantize the canonical transformation χ as in [4]. More precisely we find a classical λ-FIO $T(\lambda) : C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^{n-1}, \mathbb{L}) \to C^\infty(\Gamma)$ of order 0 the canonical relation of which is just the graph of χ and such that $\mathrm{WF}'(T(\lambda)T(\lambda)^* - \mathrm{Id}_\Gamma) \cap B(U_m) = \emptyset$. We suppose that the principal symbol of $T(\lambda)$ is equal to one in $\mathbb{T}^{n-1} \times D^0$ modulo the Liouville factor $\exp(i\lambda\Psi(\varphi, I))$, where D^0 is a small neighborhood of I^0. Conjugating $W(\lambda)$ with $T(\lambda)$ and using Lemma A.2 and Remark A.1 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} T(\lambda)^* W(\lambda) T(\lambda) \ &= \ \left[T(\lambda)^* \left(Q^0(\lambda) + \lambda^{-1} Q^1(\lambda)\right) T(\lambda)\right] \left[T(\lambda)^* S(\lambda) T(\lambda)\right] \\ &= \ e^{i\pi\vartheta/4} W_1(\lambda) + O_{\mathcal{B}}(|\lambda|^{-M}) \end{aligned}$$

where $\vartheta \in \mathbb{Z}$ is a Maslov's index and $W_1(\lambda)$ is a λ-FIO operator of order 0 of the form

$$\widetilde{W_1(\lambda)} u(x) = \left(\frac{\lambda}{2\pi}\right)^{n-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n-2}} e^{i\lambda(\langle x-y, I\rangle + \Phi(x,I))}\, w(x, I, \lambda)\, J(x, I)\, \widetilde{u}(y)\, dI dy\,, \qquad (3.15)$$

$u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^{n-1}, \mathbb{L})$, where

$$J(x, I) \ = \ \left|\det\, \left(\mathrm{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^{2n-2}} + \frac{\partial^2\Phi}{\partial x \partial I}(x, I)\right)\right|^{-1/2}.$$

The symbol $w(x, I, \lambda)$, $(x, I) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times D$, is 2π-periodic with respect to x and uniformly compactly supported in $I \in D$, where D is a small neighborhood of I^0, and it is obtained by means of Lemma A.2 and Remark A.1. We have $w = w_0 + \lambda^{-1} w^0$, where $w_0 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times D)$ is independent of K, $w_0(x, I) = 1$ for $(x, I) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times D^0$, D^0 being a neighborhood of I^0, and

$$w^0 = \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} w_j^0(x, I)\lambda^{-j} \in S_{l,2,M}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1} \times D; \mathcal{B}; \lambda).$$

Moreover,

$$w_0^0(x, I) = i w_0'(x, I) + 2i \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \left(\frac{K \circ \pi_\Gamma}{\sin\theta}\right) \left(B^{-j}\chi(\pi_0(x), I))\right), \qquad (3.16)$$

where w_0' is a C^∞ real-valued function independent of K and $\pi_0 : \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \to \mathbb{T}^{n-1}$ is the canonical projection. The phase function is given by $\Phi(x, I) = L(I) + R(x, I) + C$, where C is a constant, since the canonical relation of $W_1(\lambda)$ is just the graph of P^0. Comparing the Liouville factors in the principal symbols of $W_1(\lambda)$ and $W(\lambda)$ and using (3.11) and (3.12), we obtain as in [21] that $C = 0$. Moreover,

$$\forall\, N \in \mathbb{N}, \quad J(x, I) = 1 + O_N(|I - I^0|^N),$$

since R is flat at $I = I^0$.

3.4 *Homological equation and Quantum Birkhoff Normal Form.*

The frequencies I of the quasimode we are going to construct will satisfy $I - I^0 \sim \lambda^{-1}$, where λ^2 are the corresponding quasi-eigenvalues. For that reason we consider the Taylor polynomials of the symbols at $I = I^0$ up to certain order. Moreover, we are going to deal with the small denominator condition (1.3) and we shall solve the corresponding homological equation on $\mathbb{T}^{n-1}$. To this end we use weighted Wiener spaces $\mathcal{A}^s(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})$, $s \geq 0$, which are defined as follows. Given $u \in C(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})$, we denote by u_k, $k \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$, the corresponding Fourier coefficients, and for any $s \in \mathbb{R}_+ := [0, +\infty)$ we define the corresponding weighted Wiener norm of u by

$$\|u\|_s := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}} (1 + |k|)^s |u_k| \,,$$

where $|k| = |k_1| + \cdots + |k_{n-1}|$ for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$. We denote by $\mathcal{A}^s(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})$ the Banach space of all $u \in C(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})$ such that $\|u\|_s < \infty$. We list below some useful properties of these spaces. If $u \in \mathcal{A}^s(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{n-1}$ with $|\alpha| \leq s$, then $\partial^\alpha u \in \mathcal{A}^{s-|\alpha|}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})$. The space $\mathcal{A}^s(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})$ is a Banach algebra, if $u, v \in \mathcal{A}^s(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})$ then $\|uv\|_s \leq \|u\|_s \|v\|_s$, and if $u \neq 0$, then $1/u \in \mathcal{A}^s(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})$ as well. Moreover, the following relations between Wiener spaces and Hölder spaces on the torus $\mathbb{T}^p$, $p \geq 1$, hold

$$C^q(\mathbb{T}^p) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}^s(\mathbb{T}^p) \hookrightarrow C^s(\mathbb{T}^p) \,,$$

for any $s \geq 0$ and $q > s + p/2$, and the corresponding inclusion maps are continuous. The first relation (cf. [1, Sect. 3.2]) is a special case of a theorem of Bernstein ($p = 1$) and its generalizations for $p \geq 2$. Wiener spaces are perfectly adapted for solving the so called Homological equation

$$\mathcal{L}_\omega u(\varphi) := u(\varphi - 2\pi\omega) - u(\varphi) = f(\varphi). \tag{3.17}$$

We have the following

Lemma 3.1 *Let ω satisfy the small-denominator condition (1.3) and $s \geq \tau$. Then for any $f \in \mathcal{A}^s(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})$ satisfying*

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^{n-1}} f(\varphi) d\varphi = 0$$

the homological equation

$$\mathcal{L}_\omega u = f \,, \quad \int_{\mathbb{T}^{n-1}} u(\varphi) d\varphi = 0$$

has a solution $u \in \mathcal{A}^{s-\tau}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})$. Moreover, the solution u is unique and it satisfies the estimate $\|u\|_{s-\tau} \leq (4\kappa)^{-1} \|f\|_s$.

Proof. Comparing the Fourier coefficients u_k and f_k, $0 \neq k \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$, of u and f respectively, we get

$$u_k = \frac{f_k}{1 - \exp(2\pi i \langle k, \omega \rangle)} \,, \ k \neq 0 \,,$$

and set $u_0 = 0$. Using (1.3) we obtain

$$|1 - \exp(2\pi i \langle k, \omega \rangle)| = 2 |\sin(\pi(k_n - \langle k, \omega \rangle))| \geq 4\kappa |k|^{-\tau} \geq 4\kappa (1 + |k|)^{-\tau}$$

for $k \neq 0$, where $k_n - \langle k, \omega \rangle \in (-1/2, 1/2)$. Hence,

$$|u_k| \leq (4\kappa)^{-1} (1 + |\kappa|)^\tau |f_k| \,, \ k \neq 0 \,.$$

Since $f_0 = 0$, summing up we get the function u and the estimate of $\|u\|_{s-\tau}$. In this way we obtain an unique solution $u \in \mathcal{A}^{s-\tau}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})$ of (3.17) normalized by $\int_{\mathbb{T}^{n-1}} u(\varphi)\, d\varphi = 0$. $\square$

Let $\psi \in C_0^\infty(D)$ and $\psi = 1$ in a neighborhood of I^0. For any ℓ, $l \geq 2$, $s \geq 2$ and a positive integer $N \geq 1$ such that $l \geq sN + 2n$, and for any bounded set $\mathcal{B} \subset C^\ell(\Gamma, \mathbb{R})$ we denote by $\mathcal{A}_{l,s,N}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1} \times D; \mathcal{B}; \lambda)$ the class of symbols depending on $K \in \mathcal{B}$ of the form

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{rcl} a(\varphi, I, \lambda) & = & \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} a_{j,K}(\varphi, I)\lambda^{-j} \,, \\ \\ a_{j,K}(\varphi, I) & = & \psi(I) \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N-j-1} (I - I^0)^\alpha a_{j,\alpha,K}(\varphi) \end{array} \right. \tag{3.18}$$

where $a_{j,\alpha,K} = \partial_I^\alpha a_{j,K}(\cdot, I^0)/\alpha! \in \mathcal{A}^{l-sj-|\alpha|}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})$, and such that the corresponding map

$$C^\ell(\Gamma, \mathbb{R}) \supset \mathcal{B} \ni K \to a_{j,\alpha,K} \in \mathcal{A}^{l-sj-|\alpha|}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})$$

is continuous. We also say that r belongs to the residual class of symbols $\widetilde{R}_N(\mathbb{T}^{n-1} \times D; \mathcal{B}; \lambda)$ if

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} r(\varphi, I, \lambda) \; = \; \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} r_{j,K}(\varphi, I)\lambda^{-j} \,, \\ r_{j,K}(\varphi, I) = \sum_{|\alpha| = N-j} (I - I^0)^\alpha r_{j,\alpha,K}(\varphi, I) \end{array} \right. \tag{3.19}$$

where $r_{j,\alpha,K} \in C_0^n(\mathbb{T}^{n-1} \times D)$, the support of $r_{j,\alpha,K}$ is contained in a fixed compact set in $\mathbb{T}^{n-1} \times D$ independent of K, and $\|r_{j,\alpha,K}\|_{C^n} \leq C_\mathcal{B}$, where $C_\mathcal{B} > 0$ does not depend on $K \in \mathcal{B}$. Note that the factor space $\mathcal{A}_{l,s,N}/\widetilde{R}_N$ does not depend of ψ. The choice of the residual class is motivated by the proof of Proposition 3.5 below. From now on we will drop the index K keeping in mind that the corresponding symbols depend on K.

Proposition 3.2 *Fix $l \geq M(\tau + 2) + 2n$ and $\ell > l + (n-1)/2$, and suppose that K belongs to a bounded subset $\mathcal{B}$ of $C^\ell(\Gamma, \mathbb{R})$. Then there exists a λ-PDO $A(\lambda)$ of order 0 acting on $C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^{n-1}, \mathbb{L})$ and a λ-FIO $W^0(\lambda)$ of the form (3.15) such that*

$$W_1(\lambda)A(\lambda) \; = \; A(\lambda)W^0(\lambda) + R^0(\lambda) \; + \; O_\mathcal{B}(|\lambda|^{-M-1}) \,,$$

where the full symbols of $A(\lambda)$ and of $W^0(\lambda)$ have the form

$$\sigma(A)(\varphi, I, \lambda) = a_0(I) + \lambda^{-1} a^0(\varphi, I, \lambda) \;, \quad \sigma(W^0)(\varphi, I, \lambda) = p_0(I) + \lambda^{-1} p^0(I, \lambda) \,,$$

with $a_0, p_0 \in C_0^\infty(D)$, $a_0(I) = p_0(I) = 1$ in a neighborhood D^0 of I^0, and

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} a^0 \, \in \mathcal{A}_{l-\tau,\tau+2,M}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1} \times D; \mathcal{B}; \lambda) \,, \\ \\ p^0(I) = \psi(I) \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N-j-1} (I - I^0)^\alpha p_{j,\alpha} \;, \end{array} \right. \tag{3.20}$$

and where the maps $C^\ell(\Gamma, \mathbb{R}) \ni K \to p_{j,\alpha} \in \mathbb{C}$, $j + |\alpha| \leq N - 1$, are continuous, and

$$p_{0,0}^0 = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n-1}} \int_{\mathbb{T}^{n-1}} w_0^0(\varphi, I^0) d\varphi \,. \tag{3.21}$$

Moreover, R^0 is a λ-FIOs of the form (3.15) with symbol

$$r = \sum_{j=0}^{M+1} r_j^0 \lambda^{-j} \in \widetilde{R}_{M+1}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1} \times D; \mathcal{B}, \lambda) \,, \tag{3.22}$$

Proof. Given $f \in C^N(\mathbb{T}^{n-1} \times D)$ we denote by $T_N f$ its Taylor polynomial with respect to I at $I = I^0$, i.e.

$$T_N f(\varphi, I) = \sum_{0 \le |\alpha| \le N} (I - I^0)^\alpha \, f_\alpha(\varphi)\,,$$

where $f_\alpha(\varphi) = \partial_I^\alpha f(\varphi, I^0)/\alpha!$ are the corresponding Taylor coefficients. In this notations, $w^0_{r,\alpha}(\varphi) = \partial_I^\alpha w^0_r(\varphi, I^0)/\alpha!$. We need the following

Lemma 3.3 *Let $A(\lambda)$ and $W^0(\lambda)$ have symbols $a_0(I) + \lambda^{-1} a^0(\varphi, I, \lambda)$ and $p_0(I) + \lambda^{-1} p^0(I, \lambda)$ respectively, where $a_0(I) = p_0(I) = 1$ in a neighborhood D^0 of I^0, and a^0 and p^0 satisfy (3.20) with $l \ge M(\tau + 2) + 2n$ and $\ell > l + (n-1)/2$. Set*

$$R(\lambda) := W_1(\lambda) A(\lambda) - A(\lambda) W^0(\lambda).$$

Then

$$R(\lambda) = \lambda^{-1} R_1(\lambda) + R^0(\lambda) + O_{\mathcal{B}}(|\lambda|^{-M-1})\,,$$

where $R_1(\lambda)$ and $R^0(\lambda)$ are λ-FIOs of order 0 of the form (3.15). The symbol of $R^0(\lambda)$ satisfies (3.22), the symbol of $R_1(\lambda)$ has the form

$$R_1(\varphi, I, \lambda) = \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} R_{1j}(\varphi, I) \lambda^{-j},$$

and for any $0 \le j \le M-1$ we have

$$R_{1j}(\varphi, I) = \frac{1}{i} \mathcal{L}_\omega \, a^0_j(\varphi, I) \, + \, T_{M-j-1} w^0_j(\varphi, I) \, - \, p^0_j(I) \, + \, h^0_j(\varphi, I)\,. \tag{3.23}$$

Here $h^0_0 = 0$, and $h^0_j = f^0_j - g^0_j$ for $1 \le j \le M-1$, and the Taylor coefficient $f^0_{j,\alpha}(\varphi)$, $|\alpha| \le M - j - 1$, of f^0_j at $I = I^0$ is a linear combination of terms

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{lcl} \partial_\varphi^\beta a^0_{j,\gamma}(\varphi - 2\pi\omega) & : & |\beta| + |\gamma| \le |\alpha|,\ |\gamma| < |\alpha|, \\ \partial_\varphi^\beta a^0_{s,\gamma}(\varphi - 2\pi\omega) & : & s \le j-1,\ |\beta| + |\gamma| \le 2(j-s) + |\alpha|, \\ \textit{and of} & & \\ w^0_{r,\delta}(\varphi) \partial_\varphi^\beta a^0_{s,\gamma}(\varphi - 2\pi\omega) & : & r + s \le j-1,\ |\beta| + |\delta| + |\gamma| \le 2(j-1-r-s) + |\alpha|, \end{array} \right. \tag{3.24}$$

while the Taylor coefficient $g^0_{j,\alpha}(\varphi)$, $|\alpha| \le M - j - 1$, of g^0_j at $I = I^0$ is a linear combination of

$$p^0_{k,\beta} \, a^0_{j-k-1,\gamma}(\varphi) \, : \quad 0 \le k \le j-1\,,\ \beta + \gamma = \alpha\,. \tag{3.25}$$

Remark 3.4 *h^0_j does not depend on a^0_r for $r > j$. Moreover, $h^0_{j,\alpha}$ does not depend on $a^0_{j,\gamma}$ for $|\gamma| \ge |\alpha|$.*

The proof of the lemma is given in the Appendix. We proceed with the proof of Proposition 3.2. Recall that for each $|\alpha| \le l - 2j$ the map

$$C^\ell(\Gamma, \mathbb{R}) \ni K \ \to \ w^0_{j,\alpha} \in C^{\ell - 2j - |\alpha|}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}^{l - 2j - |\alpha|}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1}) \tag{3.26}$$

is continuous since $\ell > l + (n-1)/2$. We are going to find $p^0_{j,\alpha} \in \mathbb{C}$ and

$$a_{j,\alpha} \in \mathcal{A}^{l-\tau-j(\tau+2)-|\alpha|}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})\,,\ 0 \le j \le M-1\,,\ |\alpha| \le M-j-1\,,$$

so that $R_{1j} = 0$. Moreover, we shall prove by recurrence that the maps

$$K \mapsto p^0_{j,\alpha} \in \mathbb{C}\,,\ K \mapsto a_{j,\alpha} \in \mathcal{A}^{l-\tau-j(\tau+2)-|\alpha|}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1}) \tag{3.27}$$

are continuous with respect to $K \in C^l(\Gamma, \mathbb{R})$. For $j = 0$ we have $h_0 = 0$, and we put

$$p^0_{0,\alpha} \ = \ \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n-1}} \int_{\mathbb{T}^{n-1}} w^0_{0,\alpha}(\varphi)\, d\varphi\,, \quad |\alpha| \le M-1\,.$$

Setting $u = a_{0,\alpha}$ and $f = p^0_{0,\alpha} - w^0_{j,\alpha}$ we obtain from (3.23) the homological equation (3.17). Then using (3.26) for $j = 0$ and $|\alpha| \le M-1$ and applying Lemma 3.1 we obtain that $p^0_{0,\alpha} \in \mathbb{C}$ and $a_{0,\alpha} \in \mathcal{A}^{l-\tau-|\alpha|}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})$ and we prove that the corresponding maps (3.27) are continuous. Moreover,

$$p^0_0(I^0) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n-1}} \int_{\mathbb{T}^{n-1}} w^0_0(\varphi, I^0) d\varphi\,.$$

Fix $1 \le j \le M-1$ and suppose that the inductive assumption holds for all indices $k \le j-1$. Using (3.24) and (3.25) we are going to show that the maps

$$K \mapsto h_{j,\alpha} \in \mathcal{A}^{l-j(\tau+2)-|\alpha|}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})\,,\ |\alpha| \le M-j-1\,,$$

are continuous with respect to $K \in C^\ell(\Gamma, \mathbb{R})$. The term $\partial^\beta_\varphi a^0_{j,\gamma}$ of the first part of (3.24) belongs to $\mathcal{A}^p(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})$, where $p = l - j(\tau+2) - |\beta+\gamma| \ge l - j(\tau+2) - |\alpha|$. The term $\partial^\beta_\varphi a^0_{s,\gamma}$ of the second part of (3.24) belongs to $\mathcal{A}^p(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})$, where

$$p := l - \tau - s(\tau+2) - |\beta| - |\gamma| \ge l - (s+1)\tau - 2j - |\alpha| \ge l - j(\tau+2) - |\alpha|.$$

Consider now the terms of the third part of (3.24). We have $w^0_{r,\delta} \in C^p(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})$, where

$$p := \ell - 2r - |\delta| > (n-1)/2 + l - 2r - |\delta| \ge (n-1)/2 + l - 2(j-1) - |\alpha| \ge (n-1)/2 + l - j(\tau+2) - |\alpha|$$

hence, $w^0_{r,\delta} \in \mathcal{A}^{l-j(\tau+2)-|\alpha|}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})$ and it depends continuously on $K \in C^\ell(\Gamma, \mathbb{R})$. Moreover, $\partial^\beta_\varphi a_{s,\gamma}(\varphi - 2\pi\omega)$ belongs to $\mathcal{A}^p(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})$, where

$$p := l - \tau - s(\tau+2) - |\beta+\gamma| = l - (s+1)\tau - (2s + |\beta+\gamma|) \ge l - j(\tau+2) - |\alpha|\,,$$

hence, $\partial^\beta_\varphi a^0_{s,\gamma}(\varphi - 2\pi\omega)$ belongs to $\mathcal{A}^{l-j(\tau+2)-|\alpha|}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})$ and it depends continuously on $K \in C^\ell(\Gamma, \mathbb{R})$. We have proved that $f^0_{j,\alpha} \in \mathcal{A}^{l-j(\tau+2)-|\alpha|}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})$ and that it depends continuously on $K \in C^\ell(\Gamma)$. In the same way, using (3.25), we obtain that $g^0_{j,\alpha} \in \mathcal{A}^{l-j(\tau+2)-|\alpha|}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})$ and that it depends continuously on $K \in C^\ell(\Gamma, \mathbb{R})$. As above we prove that $w^0_{j,\alpha} \in \mathcal{A}^{l-j(\tau+2)-|\alpha|}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})$ depends continuously on $K \in C^\ell(\Gamma, \mathbb{R})$.

We set as above

$$p^0_{j,\alpha} \ = \ \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n-1}} \int_{\mathbb{T}^{n-1}} (w^0_{j,\alpha}(\varphi) - h_{j,\alpha}(\varphi))\, d\varphi\,.$$

Obviously it depends continuously on $K \in C^\ell(\Gamma, \mathbb{R})$. Setting $u = a_{j,\alpha}$ and $f = p^0_{j,\alpha} - w^0_{j,\alpha} + h_{j,\alpha} \in \mathcal{A}^{l-j(\tau+2)-|\alpha|}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})$, $|\alpha| \le M - j - 1$, we solve (3.17) by Lemma 3.1, first for $\alpha = 0$, then for $|\alpha| = 1$, and so on, and we prove as above that the maps (3.27) are continuous. In this way we obtain symbols p^0 and a^0 satisfying (3.20) and such that $R_{1j} = 0$ for $1 \le j \le M - 1$. Now Lemma 3.3 implies that $R(\lambda) = R^0(\lambda) + O_{\mathcal{B}}(|\lambda|^{-M-1})$, where $R^0(\lambda)$ satisfies (3.22). This completes the proof of Proposition 3.2. $\square$

Taking into account (3.16) and (3.21) we obtain

$$p^0_0(I^0) \;=\; ic + \frac{2i}{(2\pi)^{n-1}} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \int_{\mathbb{T}^{n-1}} \frac{K \circ \pi_\Gamma}{\sin\theta}(B^j\chi(\varphi, I^0))\, d\varphi \;=\; ic + 2i \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \int_{\Lambda_j} \frac{K \circ \pi_\Gamma}{\sin\theta}\, d\mu_j\,, \quad (3.28)$$

where c is independent of K.

Consider the λ-FIOs $W^0(\lambda)$ and $R_1(\lambda)$ given by (3.15) with phase function Φ, and amplitudes $p_0 + \lambda^{-1}p^0$, $p^0(I) = \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} p^0_j(I)\lambda^{-j}$, and $r = r_0 + \lambda^{-1}r^0$, $r^0(\varphi, I) = \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} r^0_j(\varphi, I)\lambda^{-j}$, respectively, which are uniformly compactly supported with respect to I in D. We are going to find a "spectral decomposition" of $W^0(\lambda)$ and $R(\lambda)$ as $\lambda \to \infty$ in $\mathcal{D}$. Since $\lambda \in \mathcal{D}$ is complex, we consider an almost analytic extensions of order $3M$ of the phase function Φ in $I = \xi + i\eta$ given by

$$\Phi(x, \xi + i\eta) = \sum_{|\alpha| \le 3M+3} \partial^\alpha_\xi \Phi(x, \xi)(i\eta)^\alpha (\alpha!)^{-1}\,.$$

It is easy to see that $\overline{\partial}_I \Phi(x, \xi + i\eta) = O(|\eta|^{3M+3})$. In the same way we construct an almost analytic extension of order M of the function ψ, which was used in (3.20). We have $\psi(\xi + i\eta) = 1$ in a complex neighborhood of I^0 and $\psi(\xi + i\eta) = 0$ for $\xi \notin D$.

Proposition 3.5 *Fix $C > 1$. Then for any $\lambda \in \mathcal{D}$, $|\lambda| > 1$, and any $k \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$, such that $|k| \le C|\lambda|$ we have*

$$W^0(\lambda)e_k(\varphi) \;=\; e^{i\lambda\Phi(\varphi,(k+\vartheta_0/4)/\lambda)}(p_0 + \lambda^{-1}p^0)((k + \vartheta_0/4)/\lambda, \lambda)e_k(\varphi) \;+\; O_{\mathcal{B}}(|\lambda|^{-M-1})\,, \quad (3.29)$$

and

$$R(\lambda)e_k(\varphi) \;=\; O_{\mathcal{B}}(|\lambda|^{-M-1} + |I^0 - (k + \vartheta/4)/\lambda|^{M+1})\,. \quad (3.30)$$

The proof of the proposition is given in the Appendix.

3.5 *Construction of quasimodes.*

The index set $\mathcal{M}$ of the quasimode $\mathcal{Q}$ we are going to construct is defined as follows. We say that the pair $q = (k, \ell) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{Z}$ belongs to $\mathcal{M}$ if there exists $\mu^0_q \ge 1$ such that the following quantization conditions hold:

$$\mu^0_q(I^0, L(I^0)) \;=\; (k + \vartheta_0/4, 2\pi\ell - \pi\vartheta/4) \;+\; O(1)\,, \quad (3.31)$$

as $|q| = |k| + |\ell| \to \infty$. We have $(I^0, L(I^0)) \ne (0, 0)$ in view of (3.13), hence, there is $C > 0$ such that $\mu^0_q \ge C|q|$. Note that (3.31) still holds if we replace μ^0_q by

$$\lambda \in B(\mu^0_q) := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \;:\; |\lambda - \mu^0_q| \le C_0\}\,,$$

where $C_0 \gg 1$ is fixed. Moreover, the estimate $O(1)$ in (3.31) remains uniform with respect to $q \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\lambda \in B(\mu_q^0)$. Using (3.29) for $q \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\lambda \in B(\mu_q^0)$ we obtain

$$W_0(\lambda)e_k \;=\; Z_q(\lambda)\, e_k \;+\; O_{\mathcal{B}}(|\lambda|^{-M-1})e_k\,,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Z_q(\lambda) \;&=\; e^{i\lambda L((k+\vartheta_0/4)/\lambda)+i\pi\vartheta/4}\left(1+\lambda^{-1}p^0((k+\pi\vartheta_0/4)/\lambda,\lambda)\right) \\ &=\; \exp\,\left[i\lambda L((k+\vartheta_0/4)/\lambda)+i\pi\vartheta/4+\mathrm{Log}\,\left(1+\lambda^{-1}p^0((k+\vartheta_0/4)/\lambda,\lambda)\right)\right]\,, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathrm{Log}\, z = \ln|z| + i\arg z,\ -\pi < \arg z < \pi$. On the other hand, (3.30) and (3.31) imply

$$R(\lambda)e_k \;=\; O_{\mathcal{B}}(|\lambda|^{-M-1})\, e_k \text{ for } \lambda \in B(\mu_q^0)\,.$$

Hence,

$$W_1(\lambda)A(\lambda)e_k \;=\; \left(e^{i\pi\vartheta/4}Z_q(\lambda) + O_{\mathcal{B}}(|\lambda|^{-M-1})\right)e_k\,. \tag{3.32}$$

We are going to solve the equation

$$e^{i\pi\vartheta/4}Z_q(\lambda) \;=\; 1\,, \quad \lambda \in B(\mu_q^0)\,,$$

modulo $O_{\mathcal{B}}(|\lambda|^{-M-1})$. To this end we are looking for a perturbation $\lambda = \mu_q$ of μ_q^0 such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\mu_q L((k+\vartheta_0/4)/\mu_q) + \pi\vartheta/4 \\ +\frac{1}{i}\,\mathrm{Log}\,\left(1+\mu_q^{-1}p^0((k+\vartheta_0/4)/\mu_q,\mu_q)\right) \;&=\; 2\pi\ell + O_{\mathcal{B}}(|\mu_q|^{-M-1})\,. \end{aligned}$$

Introduce a small parameter $\varepsilon_q = (\mu_q^0)^{-1}$. We are looking for

$$\left\{\begin{aligned} \mu_q \;&=\; \mu_q^0 + c_{q,0} + c_{q,1}\varepsilon_q + \cdots c_{q,M-1}\varepsilon_q^M\,, \\ \zeta_q \;&=\; I^0 + b_{q,0}\varepsilon_q + \cdots b_{q,M-1}\varepsilon_q^M + b_{q,M}\varepsilon_q^{M+1} \end{aligned}\right.$$

such that

$$\left\{\begin{aligned} \mu_q\zeta_q \;&=\; k+\vartheta_0/4 \\ \mu_q L(\zeta_q) \;&=\; 2\pi\ell - \pi\vartheta/4 - \tfrac{1}{i}\,\mathrm{Log}\,(1+\mu_q^{-1}p^0(\zeta_q,\mu_q)) + O_{\mathcal{B}}(\varepsilon_q^{M+1})\,. \end{aligned}\right.$$

Recall that

$$p^0(\zeta_q,\mu_q) = p_0^0(\zeta_q) + \cdots + p_{M-1}^0(\zeta_q)\mu_q^{-M+1}\,, \quad p_m^0(\zeta_q) = \sum_{|\alpha|\le M-m-1} p_{m,\alpha}^0(\zeta_q - I^0)^\alpha\,.$$

Then

$$\mathrm{Log}\,\left(1+\mu_q^{-1}p^0(\zeta_q,\mu_q)\right) = \sum_{j=1}^{M} u_{q,j}\varepsilon_q^j + O_{\mathcal{B}}(\varepsilon_q^{M+1})\,,$$

where $u_{q,j}$ are polynomials of $c_{q,m}$ and $b_{q,m}$, $0 \le m \le j-2$, the coefficients of which are polynomials of $p^0_{m,\alpha}$, $m+|\alpha| \le j-1$. Moreover, $u_{q,1} = p^0_{0,0}$. Using the Taylor expansion of $L(I)$ at I^0 up to order $M+1$ as well as (3.31) we obtain for $0 \le j \le M$ the following linear system

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{rcl} b_{q,j} + c_{q,j} I^0 & = & W_{q,j} \\ L(I^0) c_{q,j} + 2\pi \langle \omega, b_{q,j} \rangle & = & V_{q,j}\,, \end{array} \right.$$

where $V_{q,j}$ and $W_{q,j}$ are polynomials of $c_{q,m}$ and $b_{q,m}$, $0 \le m < j$, the coefficients of which are polynomials of $p^0_{m,\alpha}$, $m+|\alpha| < j$. In view of (3.13), the corresponding determinant is

$$L(I^0) - 2\pi\langle I^0, \omega\rangle = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n-1}} \int_\Lambda A(\varrho)\, d\mu > 0\,,$$

and we obtain an unique solution $(c_{q,j}, b_{q,j})$, $0 \le j \le M-1$. More precisely,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} c_{q,j} = (L(I^0) - 2\pi\langle I^0, \omega\rangle)^{-1} (V_{q,j} - 2\pi\langle \omega, W_{q,j}\rangle) \\ \\ b_{q,j} = W_{q,j} - c_{q,j} I^0. \end{array} \right.$$

We choose $b_{q,M}$ so that $\mu_q \zeta_q = k + \vartheta_0/4$. We have

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} W_{q,0} = k + \vartheta_0/4 - \mu^0_q I^0 = O(1), \\ \\ V_{q,0} = 2\pi\ell - \pi\vartheta/4 - \mu^0_q L(I^0) = O(1)\,,\ q \in \mathcal{M}\,, \end{array} \right.$$

in view of (3.31). Hence, $b_{q,0}$ and $c_{q,0}$ are uniformly bounded and they do not depend on K. By recurrence we prove that $b_{q,j}$ and $c_{q,j}$ are continuous with respect to K and uniformly bounded with respect to $q \in \mathcal{M}$ and $K \in \mathcal{B}$. For $j = 1$ we obtain

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} W_{q,1} = -c_{q,0} b_{q,0} \\ \\ V_{q,1} = -2\pi\langle \omega, b_{q,0}\rangle - \frac{1}{2}\langle \nabla^2 L(I^0) b_{q,0}, b_{q,0}\rangle - \frac{1}{i} p^0_{0,0}, \end{array} \right.$$

and we get

$$c_{q,1} \;=\; c'_{q,1} \;-\; \frac{2}{\int_{\Lambda_1} A(\varrho) d\mu_1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \int_{\Lambda_j} \frac{K \circ \pi_\Gamma}{\sin\theta}\, d\mu_j\,,$$

where $c'_{q,1}$ does not depend on K.

For each $q = (k, \ell) \in \mathcal{M}$ we set

$$v^0_q \;:=\; T(\mu_q) A(\mu_q) e_k \quad \text{and} \quad u^0_q \;:=\; G(\mu_q) v^0_q \;=\; G(\mu_q) T(\mu_q) A(\mu_q) e_k\,.$$

Then using (3.32), we obtain

$$(W(\mu_q) - \mathrm{Id}\,) v^0_q = O_{\mathcal{B}}(|\mu_q|^{-M-1})\, v^0_q\,, \tag{3.33}$$

and we get

$$\left| \begin{array}{rcl} (\,\Delta \,-\, \mu_q^2\,)\; u^0_q & = & O_{\mathcal{B}}(|\mu_q|^{-M})\, u^0_q \text{ in } X\,, \\ \mathcal{N} u^0_q|_\Gamma & = & O_{\mathcal{B}}(|\mu_q|^{-M})\, u^0_q \end{array} \right.$$

Lemma 3.6 *There is $C > 1$ such that*

$$C^{-1} \le \|u_q^0\|_{L^2(X)} \le C$$

for any $q \in \mathcal{M}$.

Proof. The operator $T(\lambda)A(\lambda)$ is a classical λ-FIO of order 0 the canonical relation of which is a graph of a canonical transformation and it is elliptic in a neighborhood of the frequency set of $\{e_k :\, q = (k, \ell) \in \mathcal{M}\}$. Then there is $C > 1$ such that

$$\forall q \in \mathcal{M}\,, \quad C^{-1} \ \le\ \|v_q^0\|_{L^2(\Gamma)} \ \le C\,.$$

We write $G(\lambda) = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} G_j(\lambda)$, where $G_0(\lambda) = H_0(\lambda)\psi_0(\lambda)$ and

$$G_j(\lambda) = H_j(\lambda)\Pi_{s=0}^{j-1}\left(Q_{s+1}(\lambda)G_s(\lambda)\right)\psi_0(\lambda)$$

for $1 \le j \le m-1$ and $m \ge 2$. Since $H_j(\lambda) : L^2(\Gamma) \to L^2(\widetilde{X})$ is a uniformly bounded family of operators, we obtain $\|u_q^0\| \le C$ for some $C > 0$ and any $q \in \mathcal{M}$.

We are going to show now that the sequence $\|u_q^0\|$ is bounded below by a positive constant. Introduce 'normal coordinates' $(x', x_n) \in \Gamma \times [0, \varepsilon]$, $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$, by means of the exponential map $(x', x_n) \to \exp(x_n\nu(x'))(x')$ corresponding to the metric g. Given $0 < \varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon_2 < \varepsilon$ we set $U = \Gamma \times [\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2]$. Denote by $\pi_2 : T^*\Gamma \times T^*\widetilde{X} \to T^*\widetilde{X}$ the projection to the second factor. Then for any $0 \le j < k \le m$ the canonical relations of $H_j(\lambda)$ and $H_k(\lambda)$ satisfy

$$\pi_2(\mathcal{C}_j) \cap \pi_2(\mathcal{C}_k) \cap T^*U \ =\ \emptyset\,,$$

which implies

$$\forall f, g \in L^2(\Gamma)\,, \quad |\langle H_j(\lambda)f, H_k(\lambda)g\rangle_{L^2(U)}| \ \le\ C|\lambda|^{-1}(\|f\|^2 + \|g\|^2)\,.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\|u_q^0\|^2_{L^2(X)} \ &\ge\ \|u_q^0\|^2_{L^2(U)} \ge \textstyle\sum_{j=0}^{m} \|G_j(\mu_q)v_q^0\|^2_{L^2(U)} - C|\lambda|^{-1}\|v_q^0\|^2 \\ &\ge\ \|G_0(\mu_q)v_q^0\|^2_{L^2(U)} - C|\lambda|^{-1}\|v_q^0\|^2\,.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we can write $H_0(\lambda)$ in a neighborhood of U as a continuous family of λ-FIOs $H_0(t, \lambda) : L^2(\Gamma) \to L^2(\Gamma)$, where $H_0(t, \lambda) = \imath_t^* H_0(\lambda)$, and $\imath_t(x') = (x', t)$, for any $x' \in \Gamma$ and $0 \le t < \varepsilon$. The canonical relation of $H_0(t, \lambda)$ is a graph of a canonical transformation

$$\{(\varrho; \pi^0 \exp(s(\varrho, t)X_h)(\pi_\Sigma^+(\varrho))) \,:\, \varrho \in U_0\}$$

for $0 \le t \le \varepsilon \ll 1$, where $\pi^0 : T^*X \to T^*\Gamma$, is given by $\pi^0(x, t, \xi, \tau) = (x, \xi)$, and the smooth function $s(\varrho, t)$ is obtained from the relation $\exp(s(\varrho, t)X_h)(\pi_\Sigma^+(\varrho)) \in T^*X|_{\Gamma_t}$ by means of the implicit function theorem. It is just the time that it takes for a partial starting from $\pi_\Sigma^+(\varrho) \in T^*X|_\Gamma$ to arrive at $T^*X|_{\Gamma_t}$ following the corresponding trajectory of the Hamiltonian X_h. Moreover, its principal symbol is 1 in a neighborhood of Λ. Indeed, near the boundary we can write $H_j(\lambda)$ in the local coordinates $x = (x', x_n)$, $t = x_n$, as an oscillatory integral with a smooth non-degenerate phase function $\phi(x, \xi') + \langle y', \xi'\rangle$, $\xi' = (\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{n-1})$, $y' = (y_1, \ldots, y_{n-1})$, where $\phi(x', 0, \xi') = \langle x', \xi'\rangle$ and with a C^∞ compactly supported amplitude

$$a(x, \xi', \lambda)|\det(\partial^2\phi/\partial x'\partial\xi'(x, \xi')|^{-1/2}$$

of order 0, $a(x,\xi',\lambda) = a_0(x,\xi') + \lambda^{-1}a_1(x,\xi) + \cdots$, and $a_0 = 1$ in a neighborhood of given $(x'_0, 0, \xi'_0)$ with $(x'_0, \xi'_0) \in \Lambda$. Choosing appropriately $0 < \varepsilon_1 < \delta_1 < \delta_2 < \varepsilon_2 < \varepsilon$, this implies

$$\|G_0(\lambda)v_q^0\|^2_{L^2(U)} \ \geq \ \int_{\delta_1}^{\delta_2} \|H_0(t,\lambda)v_q^0\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)}\,dt - O(|\lambda|^{-1})\|v_q^0\|_{L^2(\Gamma)} \ \geq \ c\|v_q^0\|_{L^2(\Gamma)}$$

for some $c > 0$ and any $q \in \mathcal{M}$, which completes the proof of the lemma. □

To satisfy the boundary conditions in (2.1) we choose $\kappa \in C_0^\infty((-\varepsilon,\varepsilon))$, $\kappa \geq 0$ such that $\kappa = 1$ in a neighborhood of 0, and set

$$\tilde{u}_q(x',t) = t\kappa(t)\left(\frac{\partial u_q^0}{\partial t}(x',0) - K(x')u_q^0(x',0)\right),$$

where $(x',t) \in \Gamma \times \mathbb{R}$ are normal coordinates to the boundary Γ. Then $\|\tilde{u}_q\|_{L^2(X)} \leq C'_{\mathcal{B}}\mu_q^{-M}$ and $u_q^0 - \tilde{u}_q$ satisfies the boundary condition. We put now

$$w_q = u_q^0 - \tilde{u}_q + (\Delta_K - \mu_q^2 - i)^{-1}(\Delta - \mu_q^2)\tilde{u}_q\,.$$

Notice that the L^2-norm of the second and the third terms can be estimated by $O_{\mathcal{B}}(\mu_q^{-M})$ and $\|(\Delta - \mu_q^2)w_q\|_{L^2(X)} \leq C_{\mathcal{B}}\mu_q^{-M}$. Moreover, w_q belongs to the domain of definition of Δ_K. Normalizing $u_q = w_q\|w_q\|^{-1}$ and using Lemma 3.4, we obtain a quasimode (μ_q, u_q) of order M. Next we show that μ_q can be chosen real-valued. Applying Green's formula we get

$$|\mu_q^2 - \overline{\mu_q}^2| \ = \ |\langle \mu_q^2 u_q, u_q\rangle \ - \ \langle u_q, \mu_q^2 u_q\rangle| \ = \ O_{\mathcal{B}}(|\mu_q|^{-M}),$$

which allows us to take μ_q in $\mathbb{R}$. Choosing $|q| \gg 1$ we can suppose that μ_q is positive. Notice that K should be in $C^l(\Gamma,\mathbb{R})$ with $l > M(\tau+2) + 2n + (n-1)/2$.

4 Spectral rigidity.

4.1 Spectral rigidity for a bouncing ball geodesic.

Let γ be a closed broken geodesic in (X,g), $\dim X \geq 2$, with $m \geq 2$ vertices x_j, $0 \leq j \leq m-1$. Denote by $\varrho_j = (x_j,\xi_j) = B^j(\rho_0)$, $0 \leq j \leq m-1$, the corresponding periodic trajectory of B. Then ϱ_0 is a fixed point of the symplectic map $P = B^m$. Recall that γ is called elliptic if ϱ_0 is an elliptic fixed point of P which means that the spectrum of $dP(\varrho_0) : T_{\varrho_0}\Gamma \to T_{\varrho_0}\Gamma$ lies on the unit circle of the complex plane and it consist of distinct eigenvalues different from one, i.e.

$$\mathrm{Spec}\,(DP(\varrho_0)) = \{e^{\pm i2\pi\alpha_j} : 1 \leq j \leq n-1\}, \text{ where } 0 < \alpha_1 < \cdots < \alpha_{n-1} \leq 1/2\,.$$

Set $\alpha = (\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_{n-1})$. It is said that γ admits no resonances of order less or equal to 4 if the scalar product $\langle\alpha,k\rangle$ is not integer for any integer vector $k = (k_1,\ldots,k_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$ different from 0 and such that $|k_1| + \cdots + |k_{n-1}| \leq 4$. In this case P admits a Birkhoff normal form

$$P(\theta,r) = (\theta + \nabla B(r) + 0(|r|^{3/2}), r + 0(|r|^2)),\ (\theta,r) \in \mathbb{T}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}_+^{n-1},$$

where (r,θ) are suitable polar symplectic coordinates in a neighborhood of ϱ_0 such that $r(\varrho_0) = 0$, and $B(r) = \langle\alpha,r\rangle + \langle Ar,r\rangle/2$, where A is a symmetric matrix. The Birkhoff normal form of

P is non-degenerate if $\det A \neq 0$. In this case, applying the KAM theorem we get a family of invariant tori Λ_ω, called KAM tori, satisfying (H$_2$) and having Diophantine vectors of rotations $\omega \in \Xi$ (see [19], Theorem 13.6). Moreover, for any neighborhood U of ϱ_0 in $B^*\Gamma$ the union of the KAM tori lying in U has a positive Lebesgue measure. Now Theorem 1.1 applies for any single torus of the family.

Proof of Corollary 1.3. Consider in more details the case of a bouncing ball trajectory in a two-dimensional billiard table ($n = 2$ and $m = 2$). Denote the restrictions of the two involutions to $\Gamma \cap U$ also by J_1 and J_2 and by $\tilde{J}_j : T^*(\Gamma \cap U) \to T^*(\Gamma \cap U)$ the corresponding lifts. In this case $\Gamma \cap U$ has two connected components Γ_j, $j = 1, 2$, and $J_1(\Gamma_j) = \Gamma_j$ while $J_2(\Gamma_1) = \Gamma_2$. Since J_1 and J_2 act as isometries and commute with each other, using the definition of B in Sect. 2.1, we obtain that the involutions $\tilde{J}_j$, $j = 1, 2$, commute with each other and also with B.

For any $\omega \in \Xi$ we set $\Lambda^1_\omega = \Lambda_\omega$ and $\Lambda^2_\omega = B(\Lambda_\omega)$. Then $\tilde{J}_1(\Lambda^j_\omega)$, $j = 1, 2$, are also invariant circles of $P = B^2$ of rotation number ω and $\Lambda^j_\omega = \tilde{J}_1(\Lambda^j_\omega)$ for $j = 1, 2$, while $\Lambda^2_\omega = \tilde{J}_2(\Lambda^1_\omega)$. To prove it we use the following argument. Since $\dim T^*\Gamma_j = 2$ the KAM circle Λ^j_ω divides $T^*\Gamma_j$ in two components, and it contains the elliptic fixed point $\varrho_j = (x_j, 0)$ of P in its interior D_j. Moreover, $\tilde{J}_j(\varrho_j) = \varrho_j$, hence, $\tilde{J}_1(\Lambda^j_\omega)$ contains ϱ_j in its interior $\tilde{J}_1(D_j)$ as well. On the other hand, $\tilde{J}_1$ preserves the volume form of $T^*\Gamma_1$, hence, Λ^j_ω intersects $\tilde{J}_1(\Lambda^j_\omega)$. This implies $\Lambda^j_\omega = \tilde{J}_1(\Lambda^j_\omega)$, since P acts transitively on both of them. In the same way we prove that $\Lambda^2_\omega = \tilde{J}_2(\Lambda^1_\omega)$.

For any $K \in C(\Gamma)$ we have

$$\int_{\Lambda^1_\omega} \frac{K \circ \pi_\Gamma}{\sin\theta}\, d\mu_1 = \int_{\Lambda^1_\omega} (\frac{K \circ \pi_\Gamma}{\sin\theta} \circ \tilde{J}_1)\, d\mu_1 \,.$$

Since $(B\tilde{J}_2)^* d\mu_j = d\mu_j$, we have as well

$$\int_{\Lambda^2_\omega} \frac{K \circ \pi_\Gamma}{\sin\theta}\, d\mu_2 = \int_{\Lambda^1_\omega} (\frac{K \circ \pi_\Gamma}{\sin\theta} \circ B)\, d\mu_1 = \int_{\Lambda^1_\omega} (\frac{K \circ \pi_\Gamma}{\sin\theta} \circ \tilde{J}_2)\, d\mu_1 \,.$$

On the other hand, $d\mu_j$ and $\sin\theta$ are invariant with respect to J_1, and we obtain

$$\int_{\Lambda^1_\omega} \frac{K^{\#} \circ \pi_\Gamma}{\sin\theta}\, d\mu_1 = \frac{1}{2}\left(\int_{\Lambda^1_\omega} \frac{K \circ \pi_\Gamma}{\sin\theta}\, d\mu_1 + \int_{\Lambda^2_\omega} \frac{K \circ \pi_\Gamma}{\sin\theta}\, d\mu_2\right).$$

Now Theorem 1.1 implies that

$$\int_{\Lambda_\omega} \frac{(K^{\#}_t - K^{\#}_0) \circ \pi_\Gamma}{\sin\theta}\, d\mu = 0 \tag{4.1}$$

for any $t \in [0, 1]$. Parametrize Γ_1 by the arclength $s \in [-a, a]$ so that $s(x_1) = 0$. Then $J_1(s) = -s$ for any s. Fix $t \in (0, 1]$ and set $f = K^{\#}_t - K^{\#}_0$. For any invariant circle Λ_ω, $\omega \in \Xi$, there is $s_\omega > 0$ such that $\pi_\Gamma(\Lambda_\omega) = [-s_\omega, s_\omega]$. We are going to show that there exists an infinite sequence $(y_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \subset (0, b)$ such that $\lim y_j = 0$ and $f(y_j) = 0$. Indeed, suppose that $f(s) \neq 0$ in $(0, b)$ for some $b > 0$. Take $\omega \in \Xi$ such that $s_\omega < b$. Since $f(s)$ is *even* it does not change its sign in the interval $[-s_\omega, s_\omega]$. Moreover, $\sin\theta > 0$ since $\theta \in (0, \pi/2]$ on the interior of $B^*\Gamma$. Hence, $(f \circ \pi_\Gamma)/\sin\theta$ does not change its sign and it is non null on Λ_ω, which contradicts (4.1).

This proves the existence of an infinite sequence $\{y_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that $f(y_j) = 0$ and $y_j \neq x_1$ for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lim y_j = x_1$. Now there exists an infinite sequence $(y'_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \subset (0, b)$ such that $y_j \leq y'_j \leq y_{j+1}$ and $\frac{df}{ds}(y'_j) = 0$, and so on. This implies that the Taylor polynomials of f of order less then $[\ell] + 1$, $\ell \leq +\infty$, vanish at $s = 0$, which proves the assertion. □

Remark 4.1 . *A result similar to Corollary 1.3 can be proved for planar billiard tables X in $\mathbb{R}^2$ having a closed elliptic geodesic γ with $m \geq 3$ vertices , provided that γ is a regular polygon and X is invariant with respect to the group of the symmetries of γ in $\mathbb{R}^2$, which is just the diedral group D_m.*

4.2 Spectral rigidity for Liouville billiard tables

We recall from [28] the definition of Liouville billiard tables of dimension two. We consider two even functions $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $f(x + 2\pi) = f(x)$, and $q \in C^\infty([-N, N])$, $N > 0$, such that

(i) $f > 0$ if $x \notin \pi\mathbb{Z}$, and $f(0) = f(\pi) = 0$, $f''(0) > 0$;

(ii) $q < 0$ if $y \neq 0$, $q(0) = 0$ and $q^{''}(0) < 0$;

(iii) $f^{(2k)}(\pi l) = (-1)^k q^{(2k)}(0)$, $l = 0, 1$, for every natural $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Consider the quadratic forms

$$\begin{aligned} dg^2 &= (f(x) - q(y))(dx^2 + dy^2) \\ dI^2 &= (f(x) - q(y))(q(y)dx^2 + f(x)dy^2) \end{aligned}$$

defined on the cylinder $C = \mathbb{T}^1 \times [-N, N]$.

The involution $\sigma_0 : (x, y) \mapsto (-x, -y)$ induces an involution of the cylinder C, that will be denoted by σ_0 as well. We identify the points m and $\sigma_0(m)$ on the cylinder and denote by $\widetilde{C} := C/\sigma_0$ the topological quotient space. Let $\sigma : C \to \widetilde{C}$ be the corresponding projection. A point $x \in C$ is called *singular* if $\sigma^{-1}(\sigma(x)) = x$, otherwise it is a *regular* point of σ. Obviously, the singular points are $F_1 = \sigma(0, 0)$ and $F_1 = \sigma(1/2, 0)$. It is shown in [28] that the quotient space $\widetilde{C}$ is homeomorphic to the unit disk $\mathbb{D}^2$ in $\mathbb{R}^2$ and that there exist an unique differential structure on $\widetilde{C}$ such that the projection $\sigma : C \to \widetilde{C}$ is a smooth map, σ is a local diffeomorphism in the regular points, and the push-forward $\sigma_* g$ gives a smooth Riemannian metric while $\sigma_* I$ is a smooth integral of the corresponding billiard flow on it. We denote by X the space $\widetilde{C}$ provided with that differentiable structure and call $(X, \sigma_* g)$ a Liouville billiard table. Any Liouville billiard table possesses the string property which means that any broken geodesic starting from the singular point F_1 (F_2) passes through F_2 (F_1) after the first reflection at the boundary and the sum of distances from any point of Γ to F_1 and F_2 is constant.

We impose the following additional conditions:

(iv) the boundary Γ of X is locally geodesically convex which amounts to $q'(N) < 0$;

(v) $f(x) = f(\pi - x)$ for any x and f is strictly increasing on the interval $[0, \pi/2]$;

Liouville billiard tables satisfying the conditions above will be said to be *of classical type*. One of the consequences of the last condition is that there is a group $I(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ acting on (X, g) by isometries. It is generated by the involutions σ_1 and σ_2 defined by $\sigma_1(x, y) = (x, -y)$ and $\sigma_2(x, y) = (\pi - x, y)$. We point out that in contrast to [28] we do not assume f and q to be analytic. Examples of Liouville billiard tables of classical type on surfaces of constant curvature and quadrics are provided in [28]. The only Liouville billiard table in $\mathbb{R}^2$ is the interior of the ellipse because of the string property.

Proof of 1.4. A first integral of B in $B^*\Gamma$ is the function $\mathcal{I}(x, \xi) = f(x) - \xi^2$ the regular values h of which belong to $(q(N), 0) \cup (0, f(\pi/2))$ (see [28], Lemma 4.1 and Proposition 4.2). Each regular level set L_h consists of two connected circles $\Lambda^{\pm}(h)$ which are invariant with respect to B for $h \in (q(N), 0)$ and to B^2 for $h \in (0, f(1/4))$. The Leray form on L_h is

$$\lambda_h = \begin{cases} \frac{dx}{\sqrt{f(x)-h}}, & \xi > 0\,, \\ -\frac{dx}{\sqrt{f(x)-h}}, & \xi < 0\,. \end{cases}$$

Given a continuous function K on Γ we consider the corresponding "Radon transform" assigning to each circle $\Lambda^{\pm}(h)$ the integral

$$R_K(\Lambda^{\pm}(h)) = \int_{\Lambda^{\pm}(h)} \frac{K \circ \pi_\Gamma}{\sin\theta}\, \lambda_h\,.$$

We have

$$\sin\theta = \sqrt{\frac{h - q(N)}{f(x) - q(N)}}\,,$$

hence,

$$R_K(\Lambda^{\pm}(h)) = \pm\frac{1}{\sqrt{h - q(N)}} \int\limits_0^{2\pi} K(x) \sqrt{\frac{f(x) - q(N)}{f(x) - h}}\, dx\ ,\ h \in (q(N), 0)\,,$$

Fix the exponent $\tau > 1$ in the small denominator condition (1.3) sufficiently small so that $\ell > ([2d] + 1)(\tau + 2) + 4 + 1/2$. For any $\kappa > 0$ denote by Ω^τ_κ the set of all $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ satisfying (1.3). Then applying Theorem 1.1 for $n = 2$ we obtain

$$\forall\, t \in [0, 1]\,, \quad R_{K_t}(\Lambda^{\pm}(h)) = R_{K_0}(\Lambda^{\pm}(h)) \tag{4.2}$$

for each regular value h such that the corresponding frequency $\omega(h)$ belongs to Ω^τ_κ. On the other hand, the union $\mathcal{A} = \cup_{\kappa>0}\Omega^\tau_\kappa$ has full Lebegues measure in $\mathbb{R}$.

Lemma 4.2 *There is $\varepsilon > 0$ such that the set of all regular values $h \in (q(N), q(N) + \varepsilon)$, the corresponding frequencies $\omega(h)$ of which belong to $\mathcal{A}$, is dense in $(q(N), q(N) + \varepsilon)$.*

The proof of the Lemma follows immediately from Proposition 4.4 [28], which claims that the rotation function $\rho^-(h) := \omega(h)$ is strictly increasing and smooth in an interval $(q(N), q(N)+\varepsilon)$. Then $\omega^{-1}(\mathcal{A})$ is dense in that interval.

As the function $R_{G_t}(\Lambda^{\pm}(h))$ is analytic in $h \in (q(N), 0)$, using the Lemma we obtain (4.2) for any $h \in (q(N), 0)$. Since K_t, $t = 0, 1$, are invariant with respect to the action of $I(X)$, this implies $K_0 \equiv K_1$ as in [28]. □

Spectral rigidity for higher dimensional Liouville billiard tables will be obtained in [29]. We point out that we do not need analyticity and the billiard tables we consider are supposed to be smooth only.

5 Concluding remarks

5.1 Spectral invariants for continuous deformations of potentials

Let V_t, $t \in [0,1]$, be a continuous family of C^ℓ real-valued potentials in X, $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, which means that the map $[0,1] \ni t \mapsto V_t$ is continuous in $C^\ell(X,\mathbb{R})$. Denote by Δ_t the selfadjoint operators $\Delta + V_t$ in $L^2(X)$ with Dirichlet boundary conditions on Γ. Consider the corresponding spectral problem

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{rcll} \Delta u \ + \ V_t u & = & \lambda u & \text{in X}\,, \\ u|_\Gamma & = & 0 & \text{in } \Gamma\ , \end{array} \right.$$

We suppose as above that there is a Kronecker torus Λ of $P = B^m$ satisfying (H_3). Without loss of generality we can assume that $B^j(\Lambda) \neq \Lambda$ for any $0 < j < m$. Consider the "flow-out" $\mathcal{T} = \{\exp(sX_h)(\pi^+(x,\xi)) : (x,\xi) \in \Lambda\}$ of Λ with respect to the "broken bicharacteristic flow", where $\pi^+ : T^*\Gamma \to T^*X|_\Gamma$ is defined in Sect. 2.1. As in Sect. 3.2 we identify $\mathcal{T}$ with a smooth lagrangian torus in $\widetilde{T^*X}$. We provide $\mathcal{T}$ with the "coordinates" (ϱ, t), $\varrho \in \Lambda$, $0 \le t \le T(\varrho) = 2A(\varrho)$, using the map $(\varrho, t) \to \exp(tX_{\tilde h})(\pi^+(\varrho))$ and introduce a natural measure $d\tilde\mu = d\mu dt$ on it. Recall that $T(x,\xi) = 2A(x,\xi)$ is the corresponding return time function. The measure $d\tilde\mu$ can be related also with the mapping cylinder construction introduced in [9]. Moreover, $d\tilde\mu$ is the unique measure on $\mathcal{T}$ which is invariant with respect to the flow of $\tilde h$ and such that $\mathrm{vol}(\mathcal{T}) = \int_\Lambda T(\varrho)d\mu(\varrho)$. Let $\pi_X : \widetilde{T^*X} \to X$ be the canonical projection.

Fix $\ell > ([2d]+1)(\tau+2)+2n+(n-1)/2$, where $\tau > 1$ is the exponent in the small denominator condition (1.3).

Theorem 5.1 *Let Λ be a Kronecker torus of the billiard ball map with a vector of rotation satisfying a small denominator condition. Let V_t, $t \in [0,1]$, be a continuous family of real-valued potentials in $C^\ell(X,\mathbb{R})$ such that Δ_t satisfy the isospectral condition $(H_1)-(H_2)$ for the Dirichlet problem. Then*

$$\forall\, t \in [0,1], \quad \int_{\mathcal{T}} V_t \circ \pi_X d\tilde\mu \ = \ \int_{\mathcal{T}} V_0 \circ \pi_X d\tilde\mu.$$

To prove the theorem we construct as in Theorem 2.2 a continuous family of quasimodes

$$(\mu_q(t), u_q(t))_{q\in\mathcal{M}}\ ,\ \mathcal{M} \subset \mathbb{Z}^n\,,$$

of Δ_t of order M such that

$$\mu_q(t) = \mu_q^0 + c_{q,0} + c_{q,1}(t)(\mu_q^0)^{-1} + \cdots + c_{q,N}(t)(\mu_q^0)^{-M}$$

where μ_q^0 and $c_{q,0}$ are independent of t, $\mu_q^0 \ge C|q|$, $C > 0$, and $c_{q,j}(t)$ is continuous in $t \in [0,1]$. Moreover,

$$c_{q,1}(t) = c'_{q,1} + c''_1 \int_{\mathcal{T}} V_t \circ \pi_X d\tilde\mu\,,$$

$c'_{q,1}$ is independent of t, and

$$c''_1 = 2(2\pi)^{n-1} \left(\int_\Lambda A(\varrho)\, d\mu \right)^{-1} .$$

To construct the quasimodes we consider for each $j = 0, \dots, m-1$ a microlocal outgoing parametrix $\widetilde{H}_j : C^\infty(\Gamma) \to C^\infty(\widetilde{X})$ of the Dirichlet problem for $\Delta - \lambda^2 - V$ satisfying

$$(\Delta - \lambda^2 - V_t)\widetilde{H}_j(\lambda) = O_M(|\lambda|^{-M}) \text{ in } \widetilde{X} .$$

We are looking for $\widetilde{H}_j(\lambda)$ of the form $\widetilde{H}_j(\lambda) = H_j(\lambda) + \lambda^{-1}H^0_{j,t}(\lambda)$, where $H^0_j(\lambda)$ is introduced in Sect. 3.1 and $H^0_{j,t}(\lambda)$ is a λ-FIO of order 1/4 having the same canonical relation as $H_j(\lambda)$ and such that

$$(\Delta - \lambda^2 - V_t)H^0_{j,t}(\lambda) - V_t H_j(\lambda) = O_M(|\lambda|^{-M}) \text{ in } \widetilde{X} .$$

The principal symbol $p^0_j(x,\xi)$ of $H^0_{j,t}(\lambda)$ satisfies the equation $\{h, p^0_j\} = iV_t$ in T^*X. Taking into account the boundary values at U_j we get

$$p^0_j(\varrho, s) = i \int_0^s V_t(\exp(uX_h)(\varrho))\, du \ , \quad \varrho \in U_j .$$

As in Sect. 3.1 we get

$$i^*_\Gamma \widetilde{H}_j(\lambda) = \Psi_j(\lambda) + \widetilde{G}_j(\lambda) + O_M(|\lambda|^{-M}) , \tag{5.1}$$

where

$$\widetilde{G}_j(\lambda) := G_j(\lambda) + \lambda^{-1}G^0_j(\lambda)$$

is a λ-FIO the canonical relation of which is just the graph of the restriction of the billiard ball map $B : U_j \to U_{j+1}$. Moreover, the principal symbol of $G^0_j(\lambda)$ is equal to $p^0_j(\varrho, T_j(\varrho))$, $\varrho \in U_j$, where $T_j(\varrho)$ is defined in Sect. 3.2 as the first return time (at Γ) for the flow starting at U_j. The corresponding parametrix has the form

$$G(\lambda) = H_0(\lambda)\psi_0(\lambda) + \sum_{k=2}^m (-1)^{k-1} H_{k-1}(\lambda) \left(\Pi_{j=0}^{k-2} G_j(\lambda) \right) \psi_0(\lambda) ,$$

and we get the equation

$$(\widetilde{W}(\lambda) - \mathrm{Id}\,)\psi_0(\lambda)v \ = \ O_{\mathcal{B}}(|\lambda|^{-M-1})v , \tag{5.2}$$

where

$$\widetilde{W}(\lambda) := (-1)^{m-1}\Pi_{j=0}^{m-1} \left(\psi_{j+1}(\lambda)(G_j(\lambda) + \lambda^{-1}G^0_j(\lambda) \right) .$$

Recall that the principal symbol of $G_j(\lambda)$ is equal to one. Then

$$\widetilde{W}(\lambda) = (-1)^{m-1}\psi_m(\lambda) \left(Q^0(\lambda) + \lambda^{-1}Q^1(\lambda) \right) S(\lambda)\psi_0(\lambda) + O_{\mathcal{B}}(\lambda^{-M-1}) .$$

Here, $Q^0(\lambda)$ is a classical λ-PDOs on Γ with a C^∞ symbol independent of V_t and with principal symbol 1 in a neighborhood of Λ, and $Q^1 \in \mathrm{PDO}_{l,2,M}(\Gamma; \mathcal{B}; \lambda)$. By Egorov's theorem (see Lemma A.2) the principal symbol of $Q^1(\lambda)$ is

$$\sigma_0(Q^1)(\varrho) = 2i \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} p^0_j(B^j(\varrho), T_j(B^j(\varrho))) = 2i \int_{\mathcal{T}} V_0 \circ \pi_X d\tilde{\mu}$$

in $P(U_0)$. The operator $S(\lambda)$ (see Sect. 3.1) does not depend on V_t, and it is a classical λ-FIO of order 0 with a large parameter $\lambda \in \mathcal{D}$. Arguing as in Sect. 3 we complete the construction of the quasimodes.

In the same way one can deal with the spectral problem with Neumann (Robin) boundary conditions.

5.2 Further remarks

1. Replacing the third condition in (H_1) by $a_k^{p/2}(b_k - a_k) \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$ for some integer $p \geq 0$ and if ℓ is sufficiently large, one can prove that $c_{q,j}(t) = c_{q,j}(0)$ for $j \leq p+1$, which would give further isospectral invariants for the problem (1.1) involving integrals of polynomials of the derivatives of K_t. Tedious computations show that the second invariant is of the form

$$\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \int_{\Lambda_j} \left[\left(\frac{K \circ \pi_\Gamma}{\sin\theta} \right)^2 + D(K \circ \pi_\Gamma) \right] d\mu_j \,,$$

where D is a differential operator of degree 2. This invariant could be used to remove one of the symmetries for the function K in Corollary 1.3 and Corollary 1.4. Using further invariants one may remove all the symmetries.

2. In the same way one can obtain invariants of continuous deformations of the potential for the Schrödinger operator in closed manifolds. Combining the method of this paper with some results in [26] and [27] it can be proved that Kronicker tori with Diophantine vectors of rotation are isospectral invariants (up to a symplectic conjugation) of continuous deformations of the Riemannian metric on a closed manifold.

3. Continuous families of quasimodes can be constructed as well for *elliptic* low-dimensional tori under suitable Diophantine conditions, which will give further isospectral invariants. An elliptic closed geodesic can be considered as an elliptic torus of dimension one. Elliptic tori of dimension ≥ 2 usually appear in Cantor families and can be constructed via the KAM theory [23].

4. We point out that the method we use can be applied whenever there exists a continuous family of quasimodes of the spectral problem. It can be used also for the Laplacian Δ_K in the exterior $X = \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega$ of a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with a C^∞-smooth boundary with Robin boundary conditions on it. In this case an analogue of (H_1)-(H_2) can be formulated for the resonances of Δ_K close to the real axis replacing the intervals in the definition of $\mathcal{I}$ by boxes in the complex upper half plain. Given a Kronecker torus Λ of B we obtain continuous in K quasimodes of Δ_K associated to Λ. By a result of Tang and Zworski [34] and Stefanov [32] the corresponding quasi-eigenvalues are close to resonances and one obtains an analogue of Theorem 1.1.

Appendix

A1. Symbols of finite smoothness

Consider a λ-FIO A_λ acting on $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ with a distribution kernel

$$K_{A_\lambda}(x,y) = (\lambda/2\pi)^{n-1} \int e^{i\lambda(\langle x-y,\xi\rangle + \psi(x,\xi))} q(x,\xi,\lambda)\, d\xi\,. \tag{A.1}$$

Suppose that the amplitude q satisfies the following conditions

- $q_\lambda := q(\cdot,\cdot,\lambda) \in H_0^s(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$, where $s > n-1$,
- the support of q_λ is contained in a fixed compact $F \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ for each $\lambda \in \mathcal{D}$, and
- $\sup\limits_{\lambda} \|q_\lambda\|_{H^s} < \infty$.

Hereafter, H_0^s, $s \geq 0$, is the Sobolev space of compactly supported functions. Suppose also that the phase function $S(x,\xi) = \langle x,\xi\rangle + \psi(x,\xi)$ is C^∞ and non-degenerate in a neighborhood U of F, which amounts to $|\det(\partial_x\partial_\xi S)| \geq \delta > 0$ in U. Using a result of A. Boulkhemair [3], we obtain

$$\|A_\lambda\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2)} \ \leq\ C \sup_{\lambda\in\mathcal{D}} \|q_\lambda\|_{H^s}\,, \tag{A.2}$$

where $C = C(s,S,F) > 0$ does not depend on q_λ and $\mathcal{L}(L^2)$ stands for the space of linear continuous operators in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$. Indeed, if

$$F \subset B_\varepsilon(\varrho^0) := \{\varrho \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n-1} : |\varrho - \varrho^0| < \varepsilon\} \subset U,$$

where $\varrho^0 \in F$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small we can extend S to a globally defined smooth function $\widetilde{S}$ in $T^*\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ which coincides with S in $B_\varepsilon(\varrho^0)$ and equals the Taylor polynomial of degree 2 of S at ϱ^0 outside $B_{2\varepsilon}(\varrho^0)$ and such that $|\det \partial_x\partial_\xi \widetilde{S}| \geq \delta/2$ in $T^*\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. Then applying [3], Corollary 1, to the oscillatory integral with phase function $\widetilde{S}$ and amplitude q we obtain (A.2). In the general case we use a suitable partition of the unity of F.

Consider now the oscillating integral

$$q_\lambda(\varphi,I) = \lambda^{n-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n-2}} e^{-i\lambda\langle v,u\rangle} \tilde{a}(\varphi,u,I,v,\lambda)\, dvdu\ , \quad \lambda \in \mathcal{D}\,. \tag{A.3}$$

where $a_\lambda = a(\cdot,\lambda) \in C^{2n}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1}\times\mathbb{T}^{n-1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$, and $\tilde{a}_\lambda$ is the corresponding 2π-periodic function with respect to $u \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. We suppose also that a_λ is uniformly compactly supported with respect to $v \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, which means that there is a compact subset F of $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ such that

$$\operatorname{supp} a_\lambda(\varphi,u,I,\cdot) \subset F \text{ for any } (\varphi,u,I,\lambda) \in \mathbb{T}^{n-1}\times\mathbb{T}^{n-1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\times\mathcal{D}.$$

Lemma A. 1 *Let a_λ satisfy the hypothesis above. Then*

$$\|q_\lambda\|_{C^n} \ \leq\ C\|a_\lambda\|_{C^{2n}}\,,$$

where $C = C(n,F) > 0$. In particular the λ-FIO A_λ with amplitude $q_\lambda(\varphi,I)$ satisfies (A.2) with any $s \geq n$ if $\sup\limits_{\lambda\in\mathcal{D}} \|a_\lambda\|_{C^{2n}} < \infty$.

Proof. Set $z = (\varphi, I)$. For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{2n-2}$ with $|\alpha| \le n$ we get

$$\partial_z^\alpha q_\lambda(\varphi, I) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} b(\varphi, u/\lambda, I, u, \lambda)\, du\,,$$

where

$$b(\varphi, w, I, u, \lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} e^{-i\langle v,u\rangle} \partial_z^\alpha \tilde{a}(\varphi, w, I, v, \lambda)\, dv\,.$$

Integrating by parts with respect to v in the oscillating integral above we get

$$(1 + |u|)^n |b(\varphi, w, I, u, \lambda)| \le C \|a_\lambda\|_{C^{2n}},$$

where $C = C(n, F) > 0$. This proves the Lemma. □

We consider families of λ-PDOs with symbols of finite smoothness which depend continuously on $K \in C^l(\Gamma)$. Let $l \ge 0$ and let $\mathcal{B}$ be a a bounded subset of $C^l(\Gamma, \mathbb{R})$. We denote by $O_{\mathcal{B}}(|\lambda|^{-N}) : L^2(\Gamma) \to L^2(\Gamma)$, $\lambda \in \mathcal{D}$, any family of linear continuous operators depending on $K \in \mathcal{B}$, the norm of which is *uniformly bounded* by $C_{\mathcal{B}}(1 + |\lambda|)^{-N}$ for some positive constant $C_{\mathcal{B}}$. Let $\widetilde{l} \ge 0$, $N \ge 1$ and $m \ge 2$ be such that $\widetilde{l} \ge mN + 2n$. We say that a family of operators $Q_\lambda : L^2(\Gamma) \to L^2(\Gamma)$, $\lambda \in \mathcal{D}$, depending on $K \in \mathcal{B} \subset C^l(\Gamma, \mathbb{R})$ belongs to $\mathrm{PDO}_{\widetilde{l},m,N}(\Gamma; \mathcal{B}; \lambda)$ if in any local coordinates it can be written in the form $\mathrm{OP}_\lambda(q) + O_{\mathcal{B}}(|\lambda|^{-N})$, where the distribution kernel of $\mathrm{OP}_\lambda(q)$ is

$$\mathrm{OP}_\lambda(q)(x, y) := (\lambda/2\pi)^{n-1} \int e^{i\lambda\langle x-y,\xi\rangle} q(x, \xi, \lambda)\, d\xi\,, \tag{A.4}$$

with amplitude

$$q(x, \xi, \lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} q_k(x, \xi)\lambda^{-k}\,, \tag{A.5}$$

and $q_k \in C_0^{\widetilde{l}-mk}(T^*R^{n-1})$, $0 \le k \le N-1$, depends continuously in $K \in C^l(\Gamma, \mathbb{R})$ in the sense that the support of q_k is contained in a fixed compact set independent of K and the map

$$C^l(\Gamma, \mathbb{R}) \ni K \ \to\ q_k \in C^{\widetilde{l}-mk}(T^*R^{n-1})$$

is continuous. We denote that class of symbols q by $S_{\widetilde{l},m,N}(T^*\mathbb{R}^{n-1}; \mathcal{B}; \lambda)$. Using the L^2-continuity theorem, [16], Theorem 18.1.11′, it is easy to see that the operators of the class $\mathrm{PDO}_{\widetilde{l},m,N}(\Gamma; \mathcal{B}; \lambda)$ are uniformly bounded in L^2 with respect to $K \in \mathcal{B}$ (it suffices $\widetilde{l} \ge mN + n$). Moreover, the class $\mathrm{PDO}_{\widetilde{l},m,N}(\Gamma; \mathcal{B}; \lambda)$ is closed under multiplication and transposition and it does not depend on the choice of the local coordinates modulo $O_{\mathcal{B}}(|\lambda|^{-N})$ (see Remark A.1).

The frequency set $\mathrm{WF}'(Q)$ (modulo $O(|\lambda|^{-N})$) of a λ-PDO $Q(\lambda)$ with symbol q locally given by (A.5) is

$$\mathrm{WF}'\,(Q(\lambda)) := \cup_{j=0}^{N-1} \operatorname{supp}(q_j)$$

in each local chard.

Using Lemma A.1 one can commute λ-PDOs in $\mathrm{PDO}_{\widetilde{l},s,N}(\Gamma, \mathcal{B}; \lambda)$ with classical λ-FIOs $A(\lambda)$ associated to a smooth canonical transformation $\kappa : T^*\Gamma \to T^*\Gamma$ and having a C_0^∞ amplitude in each local cart. More precisely, we have

Lemma A. 2 *Let* $Q(\lambda) \in \mathrm{PDO}_{\widetilde{l},m,N}(\Gamma;\mathcal{B};\lambda)$*,* $\widetilde{l} \geq mN + 2n$*. Suppose that the FIO* $A(\lambda)$ *is elliptic on WF*$'(Q)$*. Then there exists* $Q'(\lambda) \in \mathrm{PDO}_{\widetilde{l},m,N}(\Gamma;\mathcal{B};\lambda)$ *such that*

$$Q(\lambda)A(\lambda) - A(\lambda)Q'(\lambda) = O_{\mathcal{B}}(|\lambda|^{-N}) \ : \ L^2(\Gamma) \longrightarrow L^2(\Gamma) \tag{A.6}$$

and wise versa. The principal symbol of $Q'(\lambda)$ *is given by the Egorov's theorem,* $\sigma(Q') = \sigma(Q)\circ\kappa$*.*

Proof. We define $Q' = BQA$, where $\mathrm{WF}'(AB - I) \cap \mathrm{WF}'(Q) = \emptyset$. To prove that $Q'(\lambda) \in \mathrm{PDO}_{\widetilde{l},m,N}(\Gamma;\mathcal{B};\lambda)$, we choose local coordinates x in Γ and write the distribution kernel of $Q(\lambda)$ in the form (A.4) with symbol $q \in S_{\widetilde{l},m,N}(T^*\mathbb{R}^{n-1};\mathcal{B};\lambda)$. We can suppose that distribution kernel of $A(\lambda)$ is given by (A.1) with a smooth compactly supported amplitude a.

More generally, we suppose that $a \in S_{\widetilde{l},m,N}(T^*\mathbb{R}^{n-1};\mathcal{B};\lambda)$. Then the distribution kernel of $Q(\lambda)A(\lambda)$ is given modulo $O_{\mathcal{B}}(|\lambda|^{-N})$ by the oscillatory integral (A.1) with amplitude given by the oscillatory integral

$$K_{QA}(x,\xi,\lambda) = \left(\frac{\lambda}{2\pi}\right)^{n-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n-2}} e^{i\lambda(\langle x-z,\eta-\xi\rangle + \psi(z,\xi) - \psi(x,\xi))}\, q(x,\eta,\lambda)a(z,\xi,\lambda)\, d\eta dz\,.$$

We are going to write it as a symbol in $S_{\widetilde{l},m,N}(T^*\mathbb{R}^{n-1};\mathcal{B};\lambda)$. Set

$$\psi_1(x,z,\xi) = \int_0^1 \nabla_x\psi(x+\tau z,\xi)d\tau\,.$$

Changing the variables and using Lemma A.1 we get $K_{QA} = K_1 + O_{\mathcal{B}}(|\lambda|^{-N})$, where $K_1(x,\xi,\lambda)$ is a sum of oscillatory integrals

$$\sum_{j=0}^{N-1}\sum_{r+s=j} \lambda^{-j}\left(\frac{\lambda}{2\pi}\right)^{n-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n-2}} e^{-i\lambda\langle z,\eta\rangle}\,\chi(\eta)q_r(x,\eta+\xi+\psi_1(x,z,\xi))a_s(z+x,\xi)\, d\eta dz\,,$$

and $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ is a cut-off function such that $\chi = 1$ in a neighborhood of $\eta = 0$. Now we expand q_r by Taylor formula with respect to η at $\eta = 0$ up to order $O(|\eta|^{N-j})$. Notice that for $|\beta| \leq N - j \leq N - r$ we have $\partial_\eta^\beta q_r \in C^{\tilde{l}-mr-|\beta|}(T^*\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$, where

$$\tilde{l} - mr - |\beta| = |\beta| + \tilde{l} - mr - 2|\beta| \geq |\beta| + \tilde{l} - m(r+|\beta|) \geq |\beta| + \tilde{l} - mN \geq |\beta| + 2n. \tag{A.7}$$

Integrating β times by parts with respect to η we obtain

$$K_1(x,\xi,\lambda) = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} F_j(x,\xi)\lambda^{-j} + F_N(x,\xi,\lambda)\lambda^{-N}\,,$$

where

$$F_j(x,\xi) \ = \sum_{r+s+|\beta|=j}\frac{1}{\beta!}\left[(-i\partial_z)^\beta\left(\partial_\eta^\beta\, q_r(x,\eta+\xi+\psi_1(x,z,\xi)\, a_s(z+x,\xi)\right)\right]_{|z=0,\eta=0} \tag{A.8}$$

for $j \leq N-1$. By (A.7) we have $\partial_\eta^{\beta+\gamma} q_r \in C^{2n}(T^*\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ if $|\gamma| \leq |\beta| \leq N - j$. In the same way we get $\partial_\eta^\gamma a_s \in C^{2n}(T^*\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ if $|\gamma| \leq\leq N - j$. Then using and Lemma A.1 we estimate the reminder term by

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_\lambda \|F_N\|_{C^n} \ &\leq \ C\textstyle\sum_{r+s\leq N}\|q_r\|_{C^{2n}}\,\|a_s\|_{C^{2n}} \\ &\leq \ C\textstyle\sum_{r\leq N}(\|q_r\|^2_{C^{\tilde{l}-mr}} + \|a_r\|^2_{C^{\tilde{l}-ms}})\,. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, the λ-FIO corresponding to $F_N\lambda^{-N}$ belongs to $O_{\mathcal{B}}(|\lambda|^{-N})$.

In the same way, we write $A(\lambda)Q'(\lambda)$ modulo $O_{\mathcal{B}}(|\lambda|^{-N})$ as a λ-FIO with distribution kernel (A.1) with amplitude given by the oscillatory integral

$$K_2(x,\xi,\lambda) = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \sum_{s+r=j} \left(\frac{\lambda}{2\pi}\right)^{n-1} \lambda^{-j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n-2}} e^{-i\lambda\langle z,\eta\rangle}\, a_s(x,\eta+\xi) q'_r(z+x+\psi_2(x,\xi,\eta),\xi) d\eta dz\,,$$

where $\psi_2(x,\xi,\eta) = \int_0^1 \nabla_\xi\psi(x,\xi+\tau\eta)d\tau$. We get as above

$$K_2(x,\xi,\lambda) = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} H_j(x,\xi)\lambda^{-j} + H_N(x,\xi,\lambda)\lambda^{-N}$$

where

$$\|H_N\|_{H^n} \le C \sum_{r\le N-1} (\|a_r\|^2_{C^{\tilde{l}-mr}} + \|q'_s\|^2_{C^{\tilde{l}-ms}})$$

and

$$H_j(x,\xi) \;=\; \sum_{r+s+|\beta|=j} \frac{1}{\beta!} \left[(-i\partial_\eta)^\beta \left(a_s(x,\eta+\xi)\partial_z^\beta\, q'_r(z+x+\psi_2(x,\xi,\eta),\xi)\right)\right]_{|\eta=0,z=0} \qquad \text{(A.9)}$$

for $0 \le j \le N-1$. Note that $\psi_1(x,0,\xi) = \nabla_x\psi(x,\xi)$, $\psi_2(x,\xi,0) = \nabla_\xi\psi(x,\xi)$, and that locally

$$\operatorname{graph}\kappa = \{(x,\xi+\nabla_x\psi(x,\xi), x+\nabla_\xi\psi(x,\xi),\xi)\}.$$

Since $A(\lambda)$ is elliptic on $\mathrm{WF}'(Q)$ we obtain that $a_0(x,\xi) \neq 0$ on the support of the functions $(x,\xi) \to q_r(x,\xi+\nabla_x\psi(x,\xi))$, and we determine q'_j by recurrence from the equations $H_j(x,\xi) = F_j(x,\xi)$, $j = 0,\dots,N-1$. It is easy to see by recurrence that $q'_j \in C^{\tilde{l}-mj}(T^*R^{n-1})$ is continuous with respect to $K \in C^l(\Gamma)$. $\square$

Remark A. 1 *We have proved in particular that if $Q(\lambda)$ is a family of λ-PDOs in $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ the distribution kernel of which has the form (A.4) with symbol $q \in S_{\tilde{l},m,N}(T^*\mathbb{R}^{n-1};\mathcal{B};\lambda)$ and if the distribution kernel of $A(\lambda)$ is given by (A.1) with amplitude $a \in S_{\tilde{l},m,N}(T^*\mathbb{R}^{n-1};\mathcal{B};\lambda)$, then $Q(\lambda)A(\lambda)$ and $A(\lambda)Q(\lambda)$ are λ-FIOs in $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ with distribution kernels (A.1) and amplitudes in $S_{\tilde{l},m,N}(T^*\mathbb{R}^{n-1};\mathcal{B};\lambda)$. By the same argument, the class* $\mathrm{PDO}_{\tilde{l},m,N}(\Gamma;\mathcal{B};\lambda)$ *is closed under multiplication and transposition and it does not depend on the choice of the local coordinates modulo $O_{\mathcal{B}}(|\lambda|^{-N})$.*

A.2 Proof of Proposition 3.5. We obtain as above

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{W^0(\lambda)e_k}(x) \;&=\; \widetilde{e_k}(x)\, e^{i\lambda\Phi(x,\xi_k)} \\ &\times \left(\frac{\lambda}{2\pi}\right)^{n-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n-2}} e^{i\lambda\langle x-y+\Phi_0(x,\xi_k,\eta_k),\eta_k\rangle}\, (p_0+\lambda^{-1}p^0)(I,\lambda)\, dI\, dy\,,\end{aligned}$$

where $\Phi_0(x,\xi,\eta) = \int_0^1 \nabla_\xi \Phi(x, \xi+\tau\eta)d\tau$, $\xi_k = (k+\vartheta_0/4)/\lambda$ and $\eta_k = I-(k+\vartheta_0/4)/\lambda$. Deforming the contour of integration we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} W^0(\lambda)e_k(\varphi) \ &= \ e_k(x)\, e^{i\lambda\Phi(\varphi,(k+\vartheta_0/4)/\lambda)} \\ \times \left(\frac{\lambda}{2\pi}\right)^{n-1} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n-2}} e^{-i\lambda\langle u,v\rangle}\,(p_0+\lambda^{-1}p^0)(v+(k+\vartheta_0/4)/\lambda,\lambda)\,du\,dv \ + \ O_{\mathcal{B}}(|\lambda|^{-M-1})\,, \end{aligned}$$

which implies (3.29).

To prove (3.30) we write $\widetilde{R^0(\lambda)e_k}(x)$ as an oscillatory integral as above, and then we change the contour of integration with respect to y by

$$y \to v = y - x - \Phi_0(x,(k+\vartheta_0/4)/\lambda, I-(k+\vartheta_0/4)/\lambda)\,.$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned} R^0(\lambda)e_k(\varphi) \ &= \ e_k(\varphi)\, e^{i\lambda\Phi(\varphi,(k+\vartheta_0/4)/\lambda)} \\ \times \left(\frac{\lambda}{2\pi}\right)^{n-1} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n-2}} e^{-i\lambda\langle v, I-(k+\vartheta_0/4)/\lambda\rangle}\,(r_0+\lambda^{-1}r^0)(\varphi,I,\lambda)\,dI\,dv \end{aligned}$$

modulo $O_{\mathcal{B}}(|\lambda|^{-M-1})$. We have

$$r_0(\varphi,I) = \sum_{|\alpha|=M+1} (I-I^0)^\alpha r_{0,\alpha}(\varphi,I)\,,$$

where $r_{0,\alpha} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{T}^{n-1}\times D)$ does not depend on K, and we write now r^0 in the form (3.19). Integrating by parts with respect to v in the corresponding oscillating integral with amplitude $r^0_{j,\alpha}(\varphi,I)(I-I^0)^\alpha$, $|\alpha| = M-j$, we replace $(I-I^0)^\alpha$ by $((k+\vartheta_0/4)/\lambda)-I^0)^\alpha$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} R^0(\lambda)e_k(\varphi) \ &= \ e_k(\varphi) e^{i\lambda\Phi(\varphi,(k+\vartheta_0/4)/\lambda)} \\ \times \left(\frac{\lambda}{2\pi}\right)^{n-1} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n-2}} e^{-i\lambda\langle v, I-(k+\vartheta_0/4)/\lambda\rangle}\, f_k(\varphi,I,\lambda)\,dI\,dv \ + \ O_{\mathcal{B}}(|\lambda|^{-M-1})\,, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} f_k(\varphi,I,\lambda) &= \textstyle\sum_{|\alpha|=M+1} ((k+\vartheta_0/4)/\lambda - I^0)^\alpha r_{0,\alpha}(\varphi,I) \\ &+ \sum_{j=0}^{M} \sum_{|\alpha|=M-j} \lambda^{-j}\,((k+\vartheta_0/4)/\lambda - I^0)^\alpha\, r^0_{j,\alpha}(\varphi,I)\,. \end{aligned}$$

Since $r^0_{j,\alpha} \in C_0^n(\mathbb{T}^{n-1}\times D)$ is continuous with respect to $K\in\mathcal{B}$, integrating n times by parts with respect to I in the last integral we gain $O_{\mathcal{B}}((1+|\lambda v|)^{-n})$, and we obtain (3.30). □

A.3 Proof of Lemma 3.3

The Schwarz kernel the operator $\widetilde{W_1(\lambda)A}(\lambda)$ has the form

$$\left(\frac{\lambda}{2\pi}\right)^{n-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} e^{i\lambda(\langle x-y, I\rangle + \Phi(x,I))}\, F(x,\xi,\lambda)\,d\xi dz\,,$$

where the amplitude F is given by the oscillatory integral

$$F(x,I,\lambda)=\left(\frac{\lambda}{2\pi}\right)^{n-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n-2}} e^{i\lambda(\langle x-z,\xi-I\rangle+\Phi(x,\xi)-\Phi(x,I))}\, w(x,\xi,\lambda)a(z,I,\lambda)J(x,\xi)\, d\xi dz$$

modulo $O_{\mathcal{B}}(|\lambda|^{-M-1})$. Recall that the function $J(x,\xi)-1$ vanishes up to any order at $\xi=I^0$, hence,

$$J(x,\xi)=1+O(|\xi-I^0|^{3M+1}).$$

Set

$$\Phi_0(x,I,\eta)=\textstyle\int_0^1\nabla_I\Phi(x,I+\tau\eta)d\tau=L_0(I,\eta)+H_0(x,I,\eta),\ \text{where}$$

$$L_0(I,\eta)=\textstyle\int_0^1\nabla_I L(I+\tau\eta)d\tau \ \text{and}\ H_0(x,I,\eta)=\int_0^1\nabla_I R(x,I+\tau\eta)d\tau\,.$$

Changing the variables $z=v+x+\Phi_0(x,I,\eta)$, $\xi=I+\eta$, and using (3.18) and Lemma A.1 we obtain as above that modulo $O_{\mathcal{B}}(|\lambda|^{-M-1})$

$$F(x,I,\lambda)\ =\ \tilde F_0(x,I,\lambda)+\sum_{j=0}^{M-1}\lambda^{-j-1}\tilde F_j^0(x,I,\lambda)+\widetilde F(x,I,\lambda)\,, \tag{A.10}$$

where $\widetilde F$ belongs to the residual class $\widetilde R_{M+1}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1}\times D;\mathcal{B};\lambda)$, and

$$\tilde F_0(x,I,\lambda)=\left(\frac{\lambda}{2\pi}\right)^{n-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n-2}} e^{-i\lambda\langle v,\eta\rangle}\, c_0(x,I,v,\eta)\, d\eta dv\,,$$

$$\tilde F_j^0(x,I,\lambda)=\left(\frac{\lambda}{2\pi}\right)^{n-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n-2}} e^{-i\lambda\langle v,\eta\rangle} c_j^0(x,I,v,\eta)\, d\eta dv\,.$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} c_0(x,I,v,\eta) &= w_0(x,I+\eta)J(x,I+\eta)a_0(I),\\ c_j^0(x,I,v,\eta) &= w_j^0(x,I+\eta)J(x,I+\eta)a_0(I)\\ &+ \sum_{|\alpha|\le M-j-1} c_{j,\alpha}^0(x,I,v,\eta)J(x,I+\eta)\,(I-I^0)^\alpha \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} c_{j,\alpha}^0(x,I,v,\eta) &= \psi(I)w_0(x,I+\eta)\, a_{j,\alpha}^0(v+x+\Phi_0(x,I,\eta))\\ &+ \sum_{r+s=j-1}\psi(I)\, w_r^0(x,I+\eta)a_{s,\alpha}^0(v+x+\Phi_0(x,I,\eta))\,, \end{aligned}$$

where $\psi\in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ and $\psi=1$ in a neighborhood of $I=I^0$. We have

$$\tilde F_0(x,I,\lambda)=w_0(x,I)a_0(I)J(x,I)=1+O(|I-I^0|^{M+1})\,.$$

In the same way we write

$$\tilde F_j^0(x,I,\lambda)=w_j^0(x,I)+\sum_{|\alpha|\le M-j-1} F_{j,\alpha}^0(x,I,\lambda)\,(I-I^0)^\alpha\ +O(|I-I^0|^{M+1})\,,$$

where the amplitude of $F_{j,\alpha}(x,I,\lambda)$ is $c^0_{j,\alpha}(x,I,v,\eta)J(x,I+\eta)$. We develop $a^0_{j,\alpha}(v+x+\Phi_0)$ in Taylor series with respect to v at $v=0$ up to order $O(|v|^{M-j-|\alpha|})$ using the Taylor formula with an integral reminder term. For any $|\alpha+\beta|\le M-j$ and $j\le M-1$ we have $\partial_x^\beta a^0_{j,\alpha}\in\mathcal{A}^p(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})\subset C^p(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})$, where

$$\begin{aligned} p &= l-\tau-j(\tau+2)-|\alpha+\beta| \ge |\beta|+l-\tau-j(\tau+2)-2|\alpha+\beta| \\ &\ge |\beta|+l-(j+1)\tau-2M \ge |\beta|+l-M(\tau+2)\ge|\beta|+2n\,, \end{aligned} \tag{A.11}$$

since $a^0\in\mathcal{A}_{l-\tau,\tau+2,M}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1}\times D;\mathcal{B};\lambda)$ by assumption. By the same argument we obtain that $\partial_x^\beta a^0_{j,\alpha}\in\mathcal{A}^{|\beta|+2n}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})$ depends continuously on $K\in\mathcal{B}$ for any $|\alpha+\beta|\le M-j$ and $j\le M-1$. Integrating β times by parts with respect to η we gain $\lambda^{-|\beta|}$. In this way we get

$$\begin{aligned} F_{j,\alpha}(\varphi,I,\lambda) \ &= \sum_{|\beta|\le M-j-|\alpha|}\frac{\lambda^{-|\beta|}}{\beta!}\left[(-i\partial_\eta)^\beta\partial_x^\beta\, a^0_{j,\alpha}(\varphi-\Phi_0(\varphi,I,\eta))\right]_{|\eta=0} \\ &+\sum_{r+s=j-1}\ \sum_{|\beta|\le M-j-1-|\alpha|}\frac{\lambda^{-|\beta|}}{\beta!}\left[(-i\partial_\eta)^\beta\left(w^0_r(\varphi,I+\eta)\,\partial_x^\beta\, a^0_{s,\alpha}(\varphi-\Phi_0(\varphi,I,\eta))\right)\right]_{|\eta=0} \\ &+\lambda^{-M+j+|\alpha|}\widetilde{F}_j(\varphi,I,\lambda)\,, \end{aligned}$$

where $\sup_\lambda\|\widetilde{F}_j\|_{C^n}\le C_{\mathcal{B}}$ in view of (A.11) and Lemma A.1. Notice that all the derivatives of H_0 vanish for $(\eta,I)=(0,I^0)$, and we have $\partial_\eta^\gamma H_0(x,I,0)=O(|I-I^0|^{M+1})$ for any γ, hence,

$$F(x,I,\lambda)=F_0(x,I)+\sum_{j=0}^{M-1}F^0_j(x,I)\lambda^{-j-1}+F^1(x,I,\lambda)\,,$$

where $F_0=1$ in $\mathbb{T}^{n-1}\times D^0$,

$$F^0_j(\varphi,I)\ =\ a^0_j(\varphi-\nabla L(I),I)+w^0_j(\varphi,I)+f^0_j(\varphi,I)\,,$$

$f^0_0=0$, and for $j\ge1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} f^0_j(\varphi,I)\ &=\ \sum_{s=0}^{j-1}\ \sum_{|\beta|=j-s}\ \sum_{|\gamma|\le M-j-1}\frac{1}{\beta!}\left[(-i\partial_\eta)^\beta\partial_\varphi^\beta\, a^0_{s,\gamma}(\varphi-L_0(I,\eta))\right]_{|\eta=0}(I-I^0)^\gamma \\ &+\sum_{r+s+|\beta|=j-1}\ \sum_{|\gamma|\le M-j-1}\frac{1}{\beta!}\left[(-i\partial_\eta)^\beta\left(w^0_r(\varphi,I+\eta)\,\partial_\varphi^\beta\, a^0_{s,\gamma}(\varphi-L_0(I,\eta))\right)\right]_{|\eta=0}(I-I^0)^\gamma\,. \end{aligned} \tag{A.12}$$

We have also $F^1\in\widetilde{R}_{M+1}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1}\times D;\mathcal{B},\lambda)$ in view of (A.11) and Lemma A.1. Expanding the right hand side of (A.12) in Taylor series with respect to I at I^0 up to order $M-j-1$, we obtain $f^0_j(\varphi,I)=\sum_{|\alpha|\le M-j-1}f^0_{j,\alpha}(\varphi)(I-I)^\alpha+\tilde{f}^0_j(\varphi,I)$, where $\tilde{f}^0_j\in C^{2n}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1}\times D)$ is bounded in $K\in\mathcal{B}$. We write $f^0_{j,\alpha}=f^{0,1}_{j,\alpha}+f^{0,2}_{j,\alpha}$, where $f^{0,1}_{j,\alpha}$ comes from the first sum in the right hand side of (A.12) and $f^{0,2}_{j,\alpha}$ comes from the second one. Then $f^{0,1}_{j,\alpha}$ is a linear combination of terms

$$\partial_\varphi^{\beta+\beta'+\delta}a^0_{s,\gamma}(\varphi-2\pi\omega)\,,$$

where $s \le j-1\,, s+|\beta| = j\,, \beta' \le \beta\,,\ |\gamma| + |\delta| \le |\alpha|$. Hence $f^{0,1}_{j,\alpha}$ can be written as a linear combination of

$$\partial_\varphi^\beta a^0_{s,\gamma}(\varphi - 2\pi\omega)\,,\ \text{where } s \le j-1\,,\ \text{and } |\beta| + |\gamma| \le 2(j-s) + |\alpha|\,.$$

Moreover, $f^{0,2}_{j,\alpha}$ is a linear combination of terms

$$\partial_I^{\beta'+\delta'} w^0_r(\varphi, I^0)\partial_\varphi^{\beta+\beta''+\delta''} a^0_{s,\gamma}(\varphi - 2\pi\omega)\,,$$

where

$$r + s + |\beta| = j-1\,,\ \beta' + \beta'' \le \beta\,,\ \delta' + \delta'' + \gamma \le \alpha.$$

Hence, $f^{0,2}_{j,\alpha}$ can be written as a linear combination of

$$\partial_I^\delta w^0_r(\varphi, I^0)\partial_\varphi^\beta a^0_{s,\gamma}(\varphi - 2\pi\omega)\,,$$

where

$$r + s \le j-1\,,\ |\beta| + |\delta| + |\gamma| \le 2(j-1-r-s) + |\alpha|.$$

In the same way, expanding $a^0_j(\varphi - \nabla L(I), I)$ in Taylor series with respect to I at I^0 up to order $M-j$, we get

$$a^0_j(\varphi - \nabla L(I), I) = a^0_j(\varphi - 2\pi\omega, I) + b^0_j(\varphi - 2\pi\omega, I) + c_j(\varphi, I),$$

where $b^0_j(\varphi - 2\pi\omega, I)$ is a linear combination of terms

$$\partial_\varphi^\beta a^0_{j,\gamma}(\varphi - 2\pi\omega)(I - I^0)^\alpha \ \text{ with } j + |\alpha| \le N-1 \text{ and } |\beta| + |\gamma| \le |\alpha| \text{ and } |\beta| \ge 1,$$

while $c_j(\varphi, I) = \sum_{|\alpha| = N-j} c_{j,\alpha}(\varphi, I)(I - I^0)^\alpha$ and $c_{j,\alpha} \in C^{2n}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1} \times D)$ is bounded in $K \in \mathcal{B}$. In particular, the $\partial_\varphi^\beta a^0_{j,\gamma}(\varphi - 2\pi\omega)$ belongs to the first terms of (3.24). This proves (3.24) and gives the term $a^0_j(\varphi - 2\pi\omega, I)$ in (3.23).

In the same way we write $A(\lambda)W_0(\lambda)$ in the form (3.15) with amplitude $G(x, I, \lambda)$ given by the oscillatory integral

$$\left(\frac{\lambda}{2\pi}\right)^{n-1} (p_0 + \lambda^{-1}p^0)(I, \lambda) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n-2}} e^{i\lambda(\langle x-z, \xi - I\rangle + \Phi(z,I) - \Phi(x,I))} a(x, \xi, \lambda) d\xi dz\,.$$

Changing the variables we obtain $G = a(p_0 + \lambda^{-1}p^0) + \widetilde{G}$, where $\widetilde{G}$ is given by

$$\left(\frac{\lambda}{2\pi}\right)^{n-1} (p_0 + \lambda^{-1}p^0(I, \lambda)) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n-2}} e^{-i\lambda\langle v, \eta\rangle} \left[a(x, \eta + I + H_1(x, v, I), \lambda) - a(x, \eta + I, \lambda)\right] d\eta dv\ ,$$

and

$$H_1(x, v, I) = \int_0^1 \nabla_x R(x + \tau v, I) d\tau = O_N(|I - I^0|^N)$$

for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Using Taylors formula and Lemma A.1 we obtain that $\widetilde{G}$ is a residual symbol satisfying (3.22), and we get

$$G(\varphi, I, \lambda) = G_0(\varphi, I) + \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} G^0_j(\varphi, I)\lambda^{-j-1} + G^1(\varphi, I, \lambda)\,,$$

where $G^1 \in \widetilde{R}_{M+1}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1} \times D; \mathcal{B}, \lambda)$. Moreover, $G_0 = 1$ in $\mathbb{T}^{n-1} \times D^0$, and

$$G^0_j(\varphi, I) \;=\; a^0_j(\varphi, I) + p^0_j(I) + g^0_j(\varphi, I)\,,$$

where, $g^0_0 = 0$ and

$$g^0_j(\varphi, I) = \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} a^0_{j-k-1}(\varphi, I) p^0_k(I)$$

for $j \geq 1$. Now it is easy to see that $g^0_{j,\alpha}(\varphi)$, $j + |\alpha| \leq N - 1$, are linear combinations of terms given by (3.25). Finally,

$$R_1(\varphi, I, \lambda) = \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} T_{M-j-1}(F^0_j - G^0_j)(\varphi, I)\, \lambda^{-j}$$

and $R_1(\lambda)$ is the corresponding FIO. $\square$

References

[1] Sh. Alimov, R. Ashurov, A. Pulatov: Multiple Fourier Series and Fourier Integrals, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, Vol. **42**, Commutative Harmonic Analysis IV, Springer-Verlag, 1992.

[2] G. Birkhoff: *Dynamical systems*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ. **9**, AMS, New York, 1927

[3] A. Boulkhemair: *Estimations L^2 précisées pour des intégrales oscillantes*, Comm. PDE., **22**, 165-184 (1997).

[4] Y. Colin de Verdière: *Quasimodes sur les variétés Riemannienes*, Inventiones Math., **43**, 15-52 (1977).

[5] Y. Colin de Verdière: *Spectre du Laplacien et longueurs des géodésiques périodiques I, II.* Compositio Math. 27, 80-106, 159-184 (1973)

[6] Y. Colin de Verdière: *Sur les longeurs de trajectoires périodiques d'un billiard*, South Rhone seminar on geometry, III (Lyon, 1983), 122-139, Travaux en Cours, Hermann, Paris, 1984.

[7] J. Duistermaat : *Oscillatory integrals, lagrange immersions and unfolding of singularities*, Commun. in Pure and Appl. Math. **27**, 207-281 (1974).

[8] J. Duistermaat and V. Guillemin: *The spectrum of positive elliptic operators and periodic bicharacteristics.* Invent. Math. **29**, 39-79 (1975).

[9] J.-P. Françoise and V. Guillemin: *On the period spectrum of a symplectic map*, J. Funct. Anal. **100**, 317-358 (1991).

[10] V. Guillemin, *Wave trace invariants*, Duke Math. Journal **83**, 287-352 (1996).

[11] V. Guillemin and R. Melrose: *An inverse spectral result for elliptical regions in* $\mathbb{R}^2$, Advances in Mathematics, **32**, 128-148 (1979).

[12] V. Guillemin and R. Melrose: *The Poisson summation formula for manifolds with boundary*, Advances in Mathematics, **32**, 204-232 (1979).

[13] V. Guillemin, T. Paul and A. Uribe: *"'Bottom of the well"' semi-classical wave trace invariants.* Math. Res. Lett. **14**, 711-719 (2007).

[14] V. Guillemin and A. Uribe: *Some inverse spectral results for semiclassical Schr¨odinger operators.* Math. Res. Lett. **14**, 623-632 (2007).

[15] M. Herman: *Inégalités "a priori" pour des tores lagrangiens invariants par des difféomorphismes symplectiques*, Publ. Math. I.H.E.S. **70**, 47-101 (1989).

[16] L. Hörmander: The analysis of linear partial differential operators I, Springer-Verlag, 1983

[17] A. Iantchenko and J. Sjöstrand, *Birkhoff normal forms for Fourier integral operators II*, Amer. J. Math **124** (2002), 817-850.

[18] A. Iantchenko, J. Sjöstrand and M. Zworski, *Birkhoff normal forms in semi-classical inverse problems*, Math. Res. Lett. **9**, 337-362 (2002).

[19] V. Lazutkin: KAM theory and semiclassical approximations to eigenfunctions, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993

[20] Sh. Marvizi, R. Melrose: *Spectral invariants of convex planar regions*, J. Differ. Geom. **17**, 475-502 (1982).

[21] V. Petkov, G. Popov: *Semi-classical trace formula and clustering of eigenvalues for Schrödinger operator*, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, **68**, 17-83 (1998).

[22] V. Petkov, L. Stoyanov: Geometry of reflected rays and inverse spectral problems, Pure and Applied Mathematics. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., Chichester, 1992.

[23] J. Pöschel: *On elliptic lower dimensional tori in Hamiltonian systems*, Math. Z. **202**, 559-608 (1989).

[24] G. Popov: *Quasimodes for the Laplace operator and glancing hypersurfaces*, Proceedings of the Conference on Microlocal Analysis and Nonlinear Waves, Ed. M. Beals, R. Melrose, J. Rauch, Springer Verlag, 1991.

[25] G. Popov: *On the contribution of degenerate periodic trajectories to the wave-trace*, Commun. Math. Physics, **196**, 363-383 (1998).

[26] G. Popov: *Length spectrum invariants of Riemannian manifolds*, Math. Zeitschrift, **213**, 311-351 (1993).

[27] G. Popov: *Invariants of the length spectrum and spectral invariants for convex planar domains*, Comm. Math. Physics, **161**, 335-364 (1994).

[28] G. Popov and P. Topalov: *Liouville billiard tables and an inverse spectral result*, Ergod. Th. & Dymam. Sys., **23**, 225-248 (2003).

[29] G. Popov, P. Topalov: *On the integral geometry of Liouville billiard tables*, preprint 2009.

[30] G. Popov, P. Topalov: *Discrete analog of the projective equivalence and integrable billiard tables*, Ergod. Th. & Dymam. Sys., **28**, 1657-1684 (2008).

[31] J. Sjöstrand, M. Zworski: *Quantum monodromy and semi-classical trace formulae*, J. Math. Pure Appl. **81**, 1-33 (2002).

[32] P. Stefanov: *Quasimodes and resonances: Sharp lower bounds*, Duke Math. J., **99**, 1,, 75-92 (1999).

[33] S. Tabachnikov: *Billiards*, Panoramas et Syntheses, Societe Mathematique de France, 1995

[34] S.-H. Tang, M. Zworski: *From quasimodes to resonances*, Math. Res. Lett., **5**, pp. 261-272 (1998).

[35] S. Zelditch: *Wave invariants at elliptic closed geodesics*: Geom. Funct. Anal. **7**, 1, 145-213(1997).

[36] S. Zelditch: *Wave invariants for non-degenerate closed geodesics*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **8**, 1, 179-217 (1998).

[37] S. Zelditch: *Spectral determination of analytic bi-axisymmetric plane domains*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **10**, 3, 628-677 (2000).

[38] S. Zelditch: *The inverse spectral problem for analytic plane domains. I: Balian-Bloch trace formula, II: domains with symmetry*, preprints, 2001: http://arXiv.org/abs/math.SP/0111077, http://arXiv.org/abs/math.SP/0111078

[39] S. Zelditch: *The inverse spectral problem.* Surveys in Differential Geometry **IX**, 401-467 (2004).

G. P.: Université de Nantes,
Laboratoire de mathématiques Jean Leray, CNRS: UMR 6629,
2, rue de la Houssinière,
BP 92208, 44072 Nantes Cedex 03, France

P.T.: Department of Mathematics,
Northeastern University,
360 Huntington Avenue, Boston, MA 02115